EXTRA SPACE STORAGE INC.

Supplemental Financial Information (unaudited) Three Months and Year Ended December 31, 2009



Forward Looking Statement

Certain information set forth in this supplemental package contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the federal securities laws. Forward-looking statements include statements concerning our plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events, future revenues or performance, capital expenditures, financing needs, plans or intentions relating to acquisitions and other information that is not historical information. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as "believes," "expects," "may," "will," "should," "anticipates," or "intends" or the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategy. We may also make additional forward-looking statements from time to time. All such subsequent forward-looking statements, whether written or oral, by us or on our behalf, are also expressly qualified by these cautionary statements.

All forward-looking statements, including without limitation, management's examination of historical operating trends and estimates of future earnings, are based upon our current expectations and various assumptions. Our expectations, beliefs and projections are expressed in good faith and we believe there is a reasonable basis for them, but there can be no assurance that management's expectations, beliefs and projections will result or be achieved. All forward-looking statements apply only as of the date made. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise forward-looking statements which may be made to reflect events or circumstances after the date made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

There are a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained in or contemplated by this supplemental package. Any forward-looking statements should be considered in light of the risks referenced in the "Risk Factors" section included in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K and in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. Such factors include, but are not limited to:

- changes in general economic conditions and in the markets in which we operate;
- the effect of competition from new self-storage facilities or other storage alternatives, which would cause rents and occupancy rates to decline;
- potential liability for uninsured losses and environmental contamination;
- difficulties in our ability to evaluate, finance and integrate acquired and developed properties into our existing operations and to lease up those properties, which could adversely affect our profitability;
- the impact of the regulatory environment as well as national, state, and local laws and regulations including, without limitation, those governing REITs, which could increase our expenses and reduce our cash available for distribution;
- disruptions in credit and financial markets and resulting difficulties in raising capital at reasonable rates, which could impede our ability to grow;
- delays in the development and construction process, which could adversely affect our profitability;
- economic uncertainty due to the impact of war or terrorism, which could adversely affect our business plan; and
- our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel and management members.

Table of Contents

Page(s)	Title
1-10	Press Release – Extra Space Storage Inc. Announces Financial Results for the Three Months and Year Ended December 31, 2009
11	Selected Financial Information
12	Debt Overview
13	Debt Maturity Schedule By Year for Consolidated and Unconsolidated Fixed- and Variable-Rate Debt
14	Debt Maturity Schedule and Interest Rates for Consolidated Fixed- and Variable-Rate Debt
15	Debt Maturity Schedule and Interest Rates for Unconsolidated Fixed- and Variable-Rate Debt
16	Property Portfolio Reporting Information for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009
17	Property Portfolio Reporting Information for the Year Ended December 31, 2009
18	Rental Activity Summary for Same-Store and All Stabilized Properties for the Three Months and Year Ended December 31, 2009
19	Same-Store Results Detail for the Three Months and Year Ended December 31, 2009
20	MSA Performance Summary for Wholly-Owned Stabilized Properties for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009
21	MSA Performance Summary for Wholly-Owned Stabilized Properties for the Year Ended December 31, 2009
22	MSA Performance Summary for All Stabilized Properties for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009
23	MSA Performance Summary for All Stabilized Properties for the Year Ended December 31, 2009
24	2005 – 2008 Development Performance Summary for the Three Months and Year Ended December 31, 2009
25	2005 and 2006 Development Completions and Performance Summary
26	2007 and 2008 Development Completions and Performance Summary
27	2009 and 2010 Estimated Development Completion Schedule and Costs Incurred to Date
28	2009 – 2012 Actual and Estimated Accretion/Dilution to Funds From Operations (FFO) Per Share from Development Program and Reconciliation of Share of Net Income to Equity in Earnings for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009
29	Reconciliation of Share of Net Income to Equity in Earnings for the Year Ended December 31, 2009
30	Major Joint-Venture Descriptions
31	Wholly-Owned Property Data by State, Total Operated Property Data by State



Extra Space Storage Inc.

Phone (801) 562-5556 Fax (801) 562-5579 2795 East Cottonwood Parkway, Suite 400 Salt Lake City, Utah 84121 www.extraspace.com

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Extra Space Storage Inc. Reports Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2009 Results

— Same-Store Occupancy Finishes Year 1.0% Higher than 2008 —
 — Secures \$63.0 Million in Debt Financing for the Quarter and \$340.5 Million for the Year —
 — Declares First Quarter 2010 Dividend of \$0.10 Per Share —

SALT LAKE CITY, Utah, February 22, 2010 — Extra Space Storage Inc. (NYSE: EXR), a leading owner and operator of self-storage properties in the United States, announced today operating results for the three months and year ended December 31, 2009.

Highlights for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009:

- Achieved funds from operations ("FFO") of \$0.22 per diluted share including development dilution of \$0.03 per share. FFO as adjusted was \$0.23 per share after excluding approximately \$0.01 of non-recurring severance charges associated with the Company's closure of its Memphis, Tennessee marketing operations.
- Same-store revenue and net operating income ("NOI") decreased by 4.0% and 6.9%, respectively, when compared to the three months ended December 31, 2008.
- Increased same-store occupancy to 83.2% as of December 31, 2009 compared with 82.2% as of December 31, 2008.
- Secured \$63.0 million of debt financing as part of the Company's efforts to strengthen its balance sheet.
- Completed the development of four self-storage properties at a total cost of approximately \$36.0 million.
- Declared and paid a dividend of \$0.13 per common share.

Spencer F. Kirk, Chairman and CEO of Extra Space Storage Inc., stated: "Despite the challenging environment, we realized numerous achievements in 2009. These include improving our balance sheet, maximizing our property performance and expanding our operational footprint by 10%. As we look to 2010 and beyond, we are more optimistic as occupancy and rental rates strengthen. We are also encouraged about the options available for Extra Space to grow in an intelligent manner through our third-party management program and potential acquisition opportunities."

FFO Per Share:

The following table outlines the Company's FFO and FFO as adjusted for the three months and years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, and also provides a reconciliation to GAAP net income per diluted share for each period presented (data shown in thousands, except for share and per share data):

		For the	e Thre	e Months	Enc	Ended December 31,				Fo	or the	Year End	nded December 31,				
		20	09			20	08			20	09			20	08		
						(As Re	vised)							(As Revised)			
			(Per Share)				(Per Share)				(Per	r Share)			(Per	· Share)	
Net income attributable to common																	
stockholders	\$	5,932	\$	0.06	\$	15,033	\$	0.16	\$	31,977	\$	0.35	\$	35,781	\$	0.43	
Adjustments:																	
Real estate depreciation		12,474		0.14		12,308		0.14		48,417		0.53		42,834		0.52	
Amortization of intangibles		201		0.00		991		0.01		1,647		0.02		4,494		0.05	
Joint venture real estate depreciation and																	
amortization		1,521		0.02		1,428		0.02		5,805		0.06		5,072		0.06	
Joint venture loss on sale of properties																	
		7		0.00		_		_		175		0.00		_		_	
Distributions paid on Preferred Operating																	
Partnership units		(1,438)		(0.02)		(1,437)		(0.02)		(5,750)		(0.06)		(5,750)		(0.07)	
Income allocated to Operating Partnership																	
noncontrolling interests		1,763		0.02		2,518		0.03	_	8,012		0.09	_	8,444		0.10	
Funds from operations	\$	20,460	\$	0.22	\$	30,841	\$	0.34	\$	90,283	\$	0.99	\$	90,875	\$	1.09	
					-												
Adjustments:																	
Non-cash interest expense related to																	
amortization of discount on exchangeable																	
senior notes (1)		405		0.00		913		0.01		2,239		0.02		4,060		0.05	
Gain on repurchase of exchangeable senior																	
notes		(352)		0.00		(6,311)		(0.07)		(27,928)		(0.30)		(6,311)		(0.08)	
Unrecovered development and acquisition																	
costs		106		0.00		96		0.00		19,011		0.21		1,727		0.02	
Severance costs		825		0.01					_	2,225		0.02					
Funds from operations - adjusted	\$	21,444	\$	0.23	\$	25,539	\$	0.28	\$	85,830	\$	0.94	\$	90,351	\$	1.08	
Weighted average number of shares - diluted																	
	91	1,364,431			90	0,837,769			9	1,082,834			82	2,352,988			

⁽¹⁾ On January 1, 2009, the Company adopted Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 470-20 (formerly FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1), which requires companies to expense certain implied costs of the option value related to convertible debt. Retrospective adoption of this accounting standard has resulted in the restatement of certain prior period numbers.

FFO and FFO as adjusted include the dilutive impact from lease-up development properties which amounted to \$0.03 and \$0.09, respectively, for the three months and year ended December 31, 2009, compared to \$0.02 and \$0.06, respectively, for the three months and year ended December 31, 2008.

Operating Results:

Total revenues for the three months ended December 31, 2009 were \$70.9 million compared to \$70.4 million for the three months ended December 31, 2008 as a decline in rental rates was partially offset by a gain in occupancy. Total expenses for the three months ended December 31, 2009 were \$46.5 million compared to \$46.3 million for the three months ended December 31, 2008. Interest expense, including non-cash interest charges relating to the Company's exchangeable senior notes, was \$18.7 million compared to \$17.3 million for the three months ended December 31, 2008. Net income for the three months ended December 31, 2009 was \$7.4 million compared to \$17.1 million for the three months ended December 31, 2008.

Total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2009 were \$280.5 million compared to \$273.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Total expenses for the year ended December 31, 2009 were \$208.6 million compared to \$180.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 include a one-time charge of \$21.2 million for the wind-down of our development program and the closure of marketing operations in Memphis, Tennessee. Interest expense, including non-cash interest charges relating to the Company's exchangeable senior notes, was \$69.8 million compared to \$68.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Net income for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Same-Store Property Performance:

For the three months ended December 31, 2009, the Company's same-store revenue and NOI at the Company's 252 same-store properties decreased by 4.0% and 6.9%, respectively, when compared to the three months ended December 31, 2008. The decrease in same-store rental revenue was due to decreased rental rates to incoming customers, which was partially offset by increased rental activity and lower move-outs. The Company's same-store expenses increased by 1.9% when compared to the three months ended December 31, 2008 primarily due to higher property taxes which was partially offset by a decrease in payroll expenses. The Company saw improvement in same-store occupancy finishing the year at 83.2% compared to 82.2% as of December 31, 2008.

Balance Sheet:

During the fourth quarter, the Company closed \$63.0 million of debt financing consisting of seven loans secured by 14 operating properties totaling \$58.5 million and one construction loan totaling \$4.5 million. The Company closed \$340.5 million of debt financing in 2009.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company's total debt, including notes payable, notes payable to trusts, exchangeable senior notes and lines of credit, was \$1.4 billion, compared to \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2008. The Company's percentage of total fixed rate debt to total debt was 78.4%. As of December 31, 2009, the weighted average interest rate on the Company's debt was 5.6% for fixed rate debt and 3.3% for variable rate debt with a combined weighted average interest rate of 5.1% and a weighted average maturity of approximately six years. Total cash as of December 31, 2009 was \$132.0 million compared to \$64.0 million at December 31, 2008.

During the quarter, the Company repurchased \$7.5 million principal amount of exchangeable senior notes which resulted in a gain on early extinguishment of debt of approximately \$0.4 million.

Development Projects Completed:

The Company completed the development of four projects at a total cost of approximately \$36.0 million. The properties are located in California, Florida and New Jersey. There are ten development projects to be completed in the Company's development pipeline.

Dividends — Paid and Announced:

On December 31, 2009, the Company paid a fourth quarter dividend of \$0.13 per share to stockholders of record as of December 10, 2009.

Subsequent to the end of the quarter, the Company declared a first quarter 2010 dividend of \$0.10 per share be paid on March 31, 2010 to stockholders of record as of March 15, 2010.

Harrison Street Joint Venture:

On January 21, 2010, the Company closed a joint venture with an affiliate of Harrison Street Real Estate Capital, LLC ("HSRE"). HSRE contributed approximately \$15.8 million in cash to the JV in return for a 50.0% ownership interest. The Company contributed 19 wholly-owned properties and received approximately \$15.8 million in cash and a 50.0% ownership interest in the joint venture. The joint venture assumed approximately \$101.0 million of existing debt which is secured by the properties. The properties are located in California, Florida, Nevada, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas and Virginia. The Company will continue to manage the properties.

Outlook:

The Company currently estimates that fully diluted FFO per share for the three months ending March 31, 2010 will be between \$0.16 and \$0.17 including lease-up dilution and non-cash interest charges related to the Company's exchangeable senior notes. For the year ending December 31, 2010, the Company currently estimates that fully diluted FFO per share will be between \$0.74 and \$0.81 including lease-up dilution and non-cash interest charges related to the Company's exchangeable senior notes. FFO estimates for the year are fully diluted for an estimated average number of shares and Operating Partnership units ("OP units") outstanding during the year. The Company's estimates are forward-looking and based on management's view of current and future market conditions.

The Company's actual results may differ materially from these estimates, which include the following annual assumptions:

- Same-store property revenue growth between (1.5%) and 0.5%.
- Same-store property expense growth between 1.0% and 3.0%.
- Same-store property NOI growth between (4.0%) and 0.0%.
- Net tenant reinsurance income between \$15.0 million and \$17.0 million.
- General and administrative expenses, net of development fees, between \$43.0 million and \$44.0 million, including non-cash compensation expense of approximately \$3.7 million.
- Average monthly cash balance of approximately \$100.0 million.
- Equity in earnings of real estate ventures between \$6.0 million and \$8.0 million.
- Interest expense between \$69.0 million and \$72.0 million.
- Weighted average LIBOR of 1.1%.
- Weighted average number of outstanding shares, including OP units, of approximately 91.7 million.
- Dilution associated with the Company's development program of between \$6.5 million and \$7.5 million.
- Dilution associated with the HRSE joint venture of between \$2.2 million and \$2.4 million.
- Taxes associated with the Company's taxable Real Estate Investment Trust ("REIT") subsidiary of between \$3.5 million and \$4.0 million.
- Non-cash interest charges associated with exchangeable senior notes of approximately \$1.7 million.

Supplemental Financial Information:

Supplemental unaudited financial information regarding the Company's performance can be found on the Company's website at www.extraspace.com. Click on the "Investor Relations" link at the bottom of the home page, then on "Financial Reports," then on "Quarterly and Other Reports" in the middle of the page and the document entitled "Q4 2009 Supplemental Financial Information." This supplemental information provides additional detail on items that include property occupancy and financial performance by portfolio and market, debt maturity schedules and performance and progress of property development.

Conference Call:

The Company will host a conference call at 1:00 p.m. Eastern Time on Tuesday, February 23, 2010 to discuss its financial results. A live webcast of the conference call will be available online on the Company's website at www.extraspace.com in the Investor Relations section. The conference call can also be accessed by dialing 877-407-0789 or 201-689-8562 for international participants. A replay of the call will be available from 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time on February 23, 2010, until midnight Eastern Time on March 9, 2010. The replay dial-in numbers are 877-660-6853 or 201-612-7415 for international callers. To access the telephonic replay, please enter account number 3055 along with the conference ID 343772.

Forward-Looking Statements:

Certain information set forth in this release contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the federal securities laws. Forward-looking statements include statements concerning our plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events, future revenues or performance, capital expenditures, financing needs, plans or intentions relating to acquisitions and other information that is not historical information. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as "believes," "estimates," "expects," "may," "will," "should," "anticipates," or "intends," or the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategy. We may also make additional forward-looking

statements from time to time. All such subsequent forward-looking statements, whether written or oral, by us or on our behalf, are also expressly qualified by these cautionary statements. There are a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained in or contemplated by this release. Any forward looking statements should be considered in light of the risks referenced in the "Risk Factors" section included in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. Such factors include, but are not limited to:

- changes in general economic conditions and in the markets in which we operate;
- the effect of competition from new self-storage facilities or other storage alternatives, which could cause rents and occupancy rates to decline;
- potential liability for uninsured losses and environmental contamination;
- difficulties in our ability to evaluate, finance and integrate acquired and developed properties into our existing
 operations and to lease up those properties, which could adversely affect our profitability;
- the impact of the regulatory environment as well as national, state, and local laws and regulations including, without limitation, those governing REITs, which could increase our expenses and reduce our cash available for distribution;
- disruptions in credit and financial markets and resulting difficulties in raising capital at reasonable rates, which could impede our ability to grow;
- delays in the development and construction process, which could adversely affect our profitability;
- · economic uncertainty due to the impact of war or terrorism, which could adversely affect our business plan; and
- our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel and management members.

All forward-looking statements are based upon our current expectations and various assumptions. Our expectations, beliefs and projections are expressed in good faith and we believe there is a reasonable basis for them, but there can be no assurance that management's expectations, beliefs and projections will result or be achieved. All forward-looking statements apply only as of the date made. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise forward-looking statements which may be made to reflect events or circumstances after the date made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Notes to Financial Information:

The Company operates as a self-managed and self-administered REIT. Readers are encouraged to find further detail regarding Extra Space Storage's organizational structure in its most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the SEC.

Definition of FFO:

FFO provides relevant and meaningful information about the Company's operating performance that is necessary, along with net income and cash flows, for an understanding of the Company's operating results. The Company believes FFO is a meaningful disclosure as a supplement to net earnings. Net earnings assume that the values of real estate assets diminish predictably over time as reflected through depreciation and amortization expenses. The values of real estate assets fluctuate due to market conditions and the Company believes FFO more accurately reflects the value of the Company's real estate assets. FFO is defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, Inc. ("NAREIT") as net income computed in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP"), excluding gains or losses on sales of operating properties, plus depreciation and amortization and after adjustments to record unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures on the same basis. The Company believes that to further understand the Company's performance, FFO should be considered along with the reported net income and cash flows in accordance with GAAP, as presented in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

For informational purposes, the Company provides FFO as adjusted for the exclusion of gains from early extinguishment of debt, non-recurring write-downs and non-cash interest charges related to ASC 470-20 (formerly FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1). Although the Company's calculation of FFO as adjusted differs from NAREIT's definition of FFO and may

not be comparable to that of other REITs and real estate companies, the Company believes it provides a meaningful supplemental measure of operating performance. The Company believes that by excluding gains from early extinguishment of debt, non-recurring write-downs and non-cash charges related to ASC 470-20 (formerly FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1), stockholders and potential investors are presented with an indicator of its operating performance that more closely achieves the objectives of the real estate industry in presenting FFO. FFO as adjusted by the Company should not be considered a replacement of the NAREIT definition of FFO or used as an alternative to net income as an indication of the Company's performance, as an alternative to net cash flow from operating activities, as a measure of liquidity, or as an indicator of the Company's ability to make cash distributions.

The Company's computation of FFO may not be comparable to FFO reported by other REITs or real estate companies that do not define the term in accordance with the current NAREIT definition or that interpret the current NAREIT definition differently. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities determined in accordance with GAAP, and should not be considered as an alternative to net income as an indication of the Company's performance, as an alternative to net cash flow from operating activities, as a measure of liquidity, or as an indicator of the Company's ability to make cash distributions.

Definition of Same-Store Properties:

The Company's same-store properties for the three months and years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 consisted of 252 properties that were wholly-owned and operated and that were stabilized by the first day of each period. The Company considers a property to be stabilized once it has been open three years or has sustained average square foot occupancy of 80.0% or more for one calendar year. Same-store results provide information relating to property operations without the effects of acquisitions or completed developments and should not be used as a basis for future same-store performance or for the performance of the Company's properties as a whole.

About Extra Space Storage Inc.:

At December 31, 2009, the Company operated or had ownership interests in 766 operating properties, 290 of which were wholly-owned and consolidated, eight of which were held in joint ventures and consolidated, 344 of which were held in joint ventures and accounted for using the equity method, and 124 of which were managed and in which the Company held no ownership interest. This compares to December 31, 2008, at which time the Company operated or had ownership interests in 694 operating properties, 279 of which were wholly-owned and consolidated, four of which were held in joint ventures and consolidated, 344 of which were held in joint ventures and accounted for using the equity method, and 67 of which were managed and in which the Company held no ownership interest. Results for both periods include equity in earnings of real estate joint ventures, management fees, tenant reinsurance and other income.

Extra Space Storage Inc. is a leading owner and operator of self-storage properties headquartered in Salt Lake City, Utah. The Company owns and/or operates 766 self-storage properties in 33 states and Washington, D.C. The Company's properties comprise approximately 500,000 units and 55 million square feet of rentable space.

For Information:

Clint Halverson James Overturf
Extra Space Storage Inc. Extra Space Storage Inc.

(801) 365-4597 (801) 365-4501

- Financial Tables Follow -

Same-Store Property Performance for the Three Months and Year Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 — Unaudited (In thousands, except occupancy and property counts.)

	For	the Three M Decemb			Percent		ear Ended ber 31,	Percent
	2009			2008	Change	2009	2008	Change
Same-store rental and tenant reinsurance								
revenues	\$	56,497	\$	58,863	(4.0)%	\$ 226,899	\$ 233,682	(2.9)%
Same-store operating and tenant reinsurance								
expenses		19,752		19,391	1.9%	80,009	80,142	(0.2)%
Same-store net operating income		36,745		39,472	(6.9)%	146,890	153,540	(4.3)%
Non same-store rental and tenant								
reinsurance revenues		8,948		6,294	42.2%	32,286	18,104	78.3%
Non same-store operating and tenant								
reinsurance expenses		3,192		3,368	(5.2)%	14,387	9,446	52.3%
Total rental and tenant reinsurance revenues								
		65,445		65,157	0.4%	259,185	251,786	2.9%
Total operating and tenant reinsurance								
expenses		22,944		22,759	0.8%	94,396	89,588	5.4%
Same-store square foot occupancy as of								
quarter end		83.2%	ó	82.2%		83.2%	82.2%	
Properties included in same-store		252		252		252	252	

Reconciliation of the Range of Estimated Fully Diluted Net Income Per Share to Estimated Fully Diluted FFO and Fully Diluted FFO Per Share— Adjusted for the Three Months Ending March 31, 2010 and Year Ending December 31, 2010 — Unaudited

	For th	e Three Mon		ding March	For the Year Ending December 31, 2010				
	Lo	w End	H	ligh End	L	ow End]	High End	
Net income	\$	0.01	\$	0.02	\$	0.18	\$	0.25	
Income allocated to Operating Partnership									
minority interest		0.01		0.01		0.01		0.01	
Net income attributable to common stockholders									
per diluted share		0.02		0.03		0.19		0.26	
Adjustments:									
Real estate depreciation		0.13		0.13		0.50		0.50	
Amortization of intangibles		0.01		0.01		0.02		0.02	
Joint venture real estate depreciation and									
amortization		0.02		0.02		0.09		0.09	
Distributions paid on Preferred Operating									
Partnership units		(0.02)		(0.02)		(0.06)		(0.06)	
Diluted funds from operations per share	\$	0.16	\$	0.17	\$	0.74	\$	0.81	
Adjustments:									
Non-cash interest expense related to									
amortization of discount on exchangeable									
senior notes		0.00		0.00		0.02		0.02	
Diluted funds from operations per share - adjusted									
	\$	0.16	\$	0.17	\$	0.76	\$	0.83	

Consolidated Balance Sheets (In thousands, except share data)

	Dec	ember 31, 2009	December 31, 2008			
Assets:			(As revised)		
Real estate assets:						
Net operating real estate assets	\$	2,015,432	\$	1,938,922		
Real estate under development	Ψ	34,427	Ψ	58,734		
Net real estate assets		2,049,859		1,997,656		
Not real estate assets		2,047,037		1,777,030		
Investments in real estate ventures		130,449		136,791		
Cash and cash equivalents		131,950		63,972		
Restricted cash		39,208		38,678		
Receivables from related parties and affiliated real estate joint ventures		5,114		11,335		
Other assets, net		50,976		42,576		
Total assets.	\$	2,407,556	\$	2,291,008		
Total assets	Ψ	2,407,330	Ψ	2,271,000		
Liabilities, Noncontrolling Interests and Equity:						
Notes payable	\$	1,099,593	\$	943,598		
Notes payable to trusts	Ψ	119,590	Ψ	119,590		
Exchangeable senior notes.		87,663		209,663		
Discount on exchangeable senior notes						
		(3,869) 100,000		(13,031) 27,000		
Lines of credit.		,				
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		33,386		35,128		
Other liabilities		24,974		22,267		
Total liabilities		1,461,337		1,344,215		
Commitments and contingencies						
Equity:						
Extra Space Storage Inc. stockholders' equity:						
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized, no shares						
issued or outstanding						
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 300,000,000 shares authorized,						
86,721,841 and 85,790,331 shares issued and outstanding at						
		867		858		
December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively		1,138,243				
Paid-in capital		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1,130,964		
Accumulated other comprehensive deficit		(1,056)		(252.052)		
Accumulated deficit		(253,875)		(253,052)		
Total Extra Space Storage Inc. stockholders' equity		884,179		878,770		
Noncontrolling interest represented by Preferred Operating Partnership						
units, net of \$100,000 note receivable		29,886		29,837		
Noncontrolling interests in Operating Partnership		31,381		36,628		
Other noncontrolling interests		773		1,558		
Total noncontrolling interests and equity		946,219		946,793		
Total liabilities, noncontrolling interests and equity	\$	2,407,556	\$	2,291,008		

Consolidated Statement of Operations for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 — Unaudited (In thousands, except share and per share data)

	Three months ended December 31,							
		2009		(As revised)				
Revenues:				(HS Teviseu)				
Property rental	\$	59,762	\$	60,789				
Management and franchise fees		5,276		5,108				
Tenant reinsurance		5,683		4,368				
Other income		129		95				
Total revenues		70,850		70,360				
Expenses:								
Property operations		21,479		21,651				
Tenant reinsurance		1,465		1,108				
Unrecovered development and acquisition costs		106		96				
Severance costs		825		_				
General and administrative		9,359		9,530				
Depreciation and amortization		13,243		13,933				
Total expenses		46,477		46,318				
Income from operations		24,373		24,042				
Interest expense		(18,271)		(16,391)				
Non-cash interest expense related to amortization of discount on								
exchangeable senior notes		(405)		(913)				
Interest income		484		824				
Interest income on note receivable from Preferred Operating Partnership unit								
holder		1,212		1,212				
Gain on repurchase of exchangeable senior notes		352		6,311				
Income before equity in earnings of real estate ventures and income tax		· ·		45.005				
expense		7,745		15,085				
Equity in earnings of real estate ventures		1,676		2,322				
Income tax expense		(1,983)		(329)				
Net income		7,438		17,078				
Net income allocated to Preferred Operating Partnership noncontrolling								
interests		(1,505)		(1,642)				
Net income allocated to Operating Partnership and other noncontrolling		(1)		(402)				
interests		(1)	Φ.	(403)				
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$	5,932	\$	15,033				
Net income per common share								
Basic	\$	0.07	\$	0.18				
Diluted	\$	0.07	\$	0.18				
Weighted average number of shares								
Basic		86,588,048		85,581,370				
Diluted		91,364,431		90,837,769				
Cash dividends paid per common share	\$	0.13	\$	0.25				

Consolidated Statement of Operations for the Year Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 (In thousands, except share and per share data)

		2009		2008
			_	(As revised)
Revenues:				
Property rental	\$	238,256	\$	235,695
Management and franchise fees		20,961		20,945
Tenant reinsurance		20,929		16,091
Other income		330		520
Total revenues		280,476		273,251
Expenses:				
Property operations		88,935		84,522
Tenant reinsurance		5,461		5,066
Unrecovered development and acquisition costs		19,011		1,727
Severance costs		2,225		_
General and administrative		40,554		39,908
Depreciation and amortization		52,403		49,566
Total expenses		208,589		180,789
Income from operations		71,887		92,462
Interest expense		(67,579)		(64,611)
Non-cash interest expense related to amortization of discount on				
exchangeable senior notes		(2,239)		(4,060)
Interest income		1,582		3,399
Interest income on note receivable from Preferred Operating Partnership unit				
holder		4,850		4,850
Gain on repurchase of exchangeable senior notes		27,928		6,311
Loss on sale of investments available for sale				(1,415)
Income before equity in earnings of real estate ventures and income tax				
expense		36,429		36,936
Equity in earnings of real estate ventures		6,964		6,932
Income tax expense		(4,300)		(519)
Net income		39,093		43,349
Net income allocated to Preferred Operating Partnership noncontrolling		(6,186)		(6,269)
Net income allocated to Operating Partnership and other noncontrolling		(0,100)		(0,209)
interests		(930)		(1,299)
	\$		\$	
Net income attributable to common stockholders	<u> </u>	31,977	Ф	35,781
Net income per common share				
Basic	\$	0.37	\$	0.46
Diluted	\$	0.37	\$	0.46
Weighted average number of shares				
Basic		86,343,029		76,996,754
Diluted		91,082,834		82,352,988
Cash dividends paid per common share	\$	0.38	\$	1.00

(Dollars and shares in thousands)

COMMON STOCK EQU	VALENTS	
	Qtr. Wtd. Average	Qtr. Ending
Common Shares	86,588	86,722
Dilutive Options & Options Cancelled	159	-
Operating Partnership Units	3,627	3,627
Preferred Operating Partnership Units	990	990
Total Common Stock Equivalents	91,364	91,339
MARKET CAPITALIZ	ZATION	
	Balance	% of Total
Total Dalet (at take a color)	\$1,406,846	57.1%
Total Debt (at face value)		
Common stock equivalents at \$11.55 (price at end of quarter)	1,054,968	42.9%

	 ear Ended nber 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008		
Net income (before preferred dividends)	\$ 31,977	\$	35,781	
Adjustments:				
Interest expense	67,579		64,611	
Real estate depreciation and amortization	48,417		42,834	
Real estate depreciation and amortization on unconsolidated joint ventures	5,805		5,072	
Other depreciation and amortization	3,986		6,732	
Income allocated to Operating Partnership and other noncontrolling interests	8,012		8,444	
Net loss (gain) on the sale of depreciable property	175		-	
Income tax expense	4,300		519	
Distributions paid on Preferred Operating Partnership units	(5,750)		(5,750)	
Non-cash interest expense related to amortization of discount on exchangeable senior notes	2,239		4,060	
Gain on repurchase of exchangeable senior notes	(27,928)		(6,311)	
Unrecovered development and acquisition costs	19,011		1,727	
Severance costs	2,225		-	
EBITDA	\$ 160,048	\$	157,719	
Interest expense	\$ 67,579	\$	64,611	
Capitalized Interest expense	4,148		5,506	
Total interest	\$ 71,727	\$	70,117	
Principal payments	\$ 6,366	\$	5,804	
Interest Coverage Ratio ⁽¹⁾	 2.23		2.25	
Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio ⁽²⁾	 2.05		2.08	
Net Debt to EBITDA Ratio ⁽³⁾	 7.97		7.84	

⁽¹⁾ Interest coverage ratio is EBITDA divided by total interest (interest expense and capitalized interest expense). (2) Fixed-charge coverage ratio is EBITDA divided by total interest (interest expense and capitalized interest expense) plus principal payments. (3) Net debt to EBITDA ratio is total debt less cash divided by EBITDA (annualized).

		(OVERALL DEB	T STRUCT	URE		
Debt Type	Rate	,	Amount		of Secured ebt Total	Wtd. Avg. Interest Rate	Wtd. Avg. Years to Maturity
Secured (including LOC)	Fixed	\$	895,473		74.6%	5.6%	4.
	Floating		304,120		25.4%	3.3%	3.
	Total		1,199,593		100%	5.0%	4.
				% of	[†] Unsecured	Wtd. Avg.	Wtd. Avg. Years
Debt Type	Rate		Amount		Total	Interest Rate	to Maturity
Unsecured	Fixed	\$	207,253		100.0%	5.4%	15.
	Floating		-		0.0%	0.0%	-
	Total		207,253		100%	5.3%	15.
		UI	NENCUMBERE	D PROPE	RTIES		
		1	2-Month	_	stimated		
Year	Properties	Tr	ailing NOI	Loa	an Value ⁽¹⁾		
2009 ⁽²⁾	45	\$	13,900	\$	129,736		
	YEAR-TO-DATE 3.6	25% EX	CHANGEABLE	SENIOR	NOTES REPURCH	ASE ACTIVITY	
Original	Face Value	v	/td. Avg.				Amount
Amount	Repurchased		Price	Yi	eld to Put	Amount Paid	Remaining
250,000	\$ 162,337		73.6		15.0%	\$ 119,455	\$ 87,66

⁽¹⁾ Utilizing a 70% LTV and 7.5% cap rate on in-place annualized NOI. (2) Unencumbered properties as of December 31, 2009.

Debt Maturity Schedule by Year for Wholly-Owned Fixed- and Variable-Rate Debt Before Extensions as of December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

	2010	 2011	 2012	 2013	After			Total
Fixed rate debt:	\$ 145,607,983	\$ 65,815,374	\$ 11,280,000	\$ 85,441,009	\$	794,581,718	\$	1,102,726,084
Variable rate debt:	133,460,506	 47,553,202	 30,195,811	 		92,910,100		304,119,619
Total debt:	\$ 279,068,489	\$ 113,368,576	\$ 41,475,811	\$ 85,441,009	\$	887,491,818	\$	1,406,845,703

Debt Maturity Schedule by Year for Wholly-Owned Fixed- and Variable-Rate Debt After Extensions as of December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

	2010 2011			2011	2012 ⁽¹⁾			2013	After			Total
Fixed rate debt:	\$	145,607,983	\$	65,815,374	\$	98,943,000	\$	85,441,009	\$	706,918,718	\$	1,102,726,084
Variable rate debt:		23,013,015		20,362,046		126,656,184		36,112,458		97,975,916		304,119,619
Total debt:	\$	168,620,998	\$	86,177,420	\$	225,599,184	\$	121,553,467	\$	804,894,634	\$	1,406,845,703

⁽¹⁾ Includes exchangeable senior notes of \$87.6 million.

Debt Maturity Schedule (EXR Share) by Year for Joint Venture Fixed- and Variable-Rate Debt as of December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

	2010	2011	2012	2	2013	After	Total
Fixed rate debt:	\$ 832,500	\$ 31,150,000	\$ 38,582,344	\$	-	\$ 33,770,504	\$ 104,335,348
Variable rate debt:	8,268,200	4,417,737	-		-	-	12,685,937
Total debt:	\$ 9,100,700	\$ 35,567,737	\$ 38,582,344	\$	-	\$ 33,770,504	\$ 117,021,285

12/31/2009 Description Interest Rate Amount **Basis for Rate** Extendable **Maturity Date** Type Fixed rate debt: 13,057.983 March-2010 Notes payable 4.87% Fixed No CMBS April-2010 32,550,000 **CMBS** Notes payable 4.95% Fixed No August-2010 Notes payable 5.26% 100,000,000 Fixed No **CMBS** June-2011 Notes payable 4.76% 26,174,959 Fixed No **CMBS** 18,026,064 June-2011 Notes payable 4.77% Fixed No CMBS June-2011 Notes payable 4.86% 21,614,351 Fixed CMBS No January-2012 5.76% 11.280.000 CMBS Notes payable Fixed No February-2013 Notes payable 7.00% 4.699.153 Fixed Nο **CMBS** April-2013 Notes payable 5.93% 1.944.366 Fixed No Individual June-2013 Notes payable 6.01% 2,565,535 Fixed No **CMBS** June-2013 4.24% 62,921,707 Fixed Other Notes payable - swapped to fixed No August-2013 **CMBS** Notes payable 4.90% 6.722.751 Fixed No CMBS August-2013 Notes payable 5.90% 2.696.963 Fixed Nο October-2013 5.76% 2,489,046 Fixed No CMBS Notes pavable October-2013 5.91% 1.401.488 **CMBS** Notes payable Fixed No May-2014 Notes payable 6.25% 4,920,000 Fixed Yes - five years Individual May-2014 6.25% 3,120,000 Notes payable Fixed Yes - five years Individual May-2014 Notes payable 5.49% 1,677,886 Fixed **CMBS** No Fixed CMBS June-2014 7.30% 60,892,328 Notes payable No June-2014 6.25% Notes payable 6.299.738 Fixed Nο Other June-2014 Notes payable - swapped to fixed 6.32% 25,825,832 Fixed No Other August-2014 Notes payable 6.07% 9,171,166 Fixed No Other September-2014 Notes payable 5.80% 6,447,779 Fixed No **CMBS** CMBS 2.805.079 May-2015 Notes payable 5.43% Fixed No May-2015 Notes payable 6 25% 2.979.064 Fixed No Individual May-2015 6.25% 3,773,491 Individual Notes payable Fixed No August-2015 Notes payable 5.24% 5.100.000 Fixed No Individual **CMBS** August-2015 5.29% 213,000,000 Notes payable Fixed No September-2015 CMBS Notes payable 5.35% 13 525 630 Fixed Nο December-2015 Notes payable 5.24% 8,080,000 Fixed No **CMBS** March-2016 4,415,262 **CMBS** Notes payable 5.68% Fixed No March-2016 Notes payable 5.87% 7,048,684 Fixed No **CMBS** April-2016 Notes payable 6.10% 7.260.019 Fixed No **CMBS** June-2016 Notes payable 6.41% 7.011.873 Fixed No **CMBS** June-2016 Notes payable - swapped to fixed 6.98% 8,414,286 No Other Fixed 62,968,000 July-2016 Notes pavable 6.18% Fixed No CMBS August-2016 6.63% 13,181,692 Fixed Other Notes payable No Sentember-2016 6.08% 12.680.000 CMBS Notes payable Fixed Nο December-2016 Notes payable 7.00% 9,000,000 Fixed No Other November-2016 6.20% 9,983,604 Notes payable - swapped to fixed Fixed Yes - two years Other February-2017 5.70% 32,950,000 **CMBS** Notes payable Fixed No April-2019 6.38% 26.276.394 Other Notes payable Fixed Yes - two one vear August-2019 Notes payable 6.40% 18.520.911 Fixed No Other April-2027 Exchangeable senior notes 3.63% 87,663,000 Fixed No Bond June-2035 Notes payable on trust preferred 6.53% 36.083.000 Fixed No **Trust Preferred** June-2035 Notes payable on trust preferred 6.67% 42,269,000 **Trust Preferred** Fixed No July-2035 6.91% 41.238.000 Trust Preferred Notes payable on trust preferred Fixed Nο Fixed rate subtotal 5.57% \$ 1,102,726,084 Variable rate debt: Notes payable 5.187.904 Libor plus 1.50 August-2010 1.73% Yes - two six month Construction Yes - two one year October-2010 Line of credit 1.23% 100,000,000 Libor plus 1.00 LOC Libor plus 2.75 November-2010 2.98% 5,259,587 Construction Notes payable Yes - one vear December-2010 Notes payable 1.76% 23,013,015 Libor plus 1.53 None Remaining Other February-2011 Notes payable 1.73% 6.020.242 Libor plus 1.50 Yes - two six month Construction March-2011 Notes payable 1.98% 6.600.000 Libor plus 1.75 Yes - two year Construction April-2011 5.00% 5,000,000 Libor plus 3.25 (5.0% Floor) Construction Notes payable April-2011 Notes payable 1.73% 6,570,657 Libor plus 1.50 Yes - two one year Construction April-2011 Notes pavable 1.68% 4,914,555 Libor plus 1.45 Construction Yes - six months 1.98% 5,052,626 April-2011 Notes payable Libor plus 1.75 Yes - two one year Construction October-2011 Notes payable 2.98% 8,280,323 Libor plus 2.75 Yes - two one year Construction November-2011 Notes payable 2.63% 5,114,799 Libor plus 2.40 Yes - two one year Construction February-2012 Notes payable 6.50% 8,975,473 Prime plus 1.50% (6.5% Floor) Other No March-2012 Notes payable 5.00% 5,900,469 Libor plus 3.25 (5.0% Floor) Yes - six months Construction July-2012 Notes payable 5.75% 3.940.138 Libor plus 2.75 (5.75% Floor) Yes - two one year Construction Yes - one year August-2012 Notes payable 3.48% 109.053 Libor plus 3.25 (4.5% Floor) Construction September-2012 4,385,000 Notes payable 6.00% Libor plus 3.0 (6.0% Floor) Yes - six months Construction November-2012 5.00% 1,125,678 Libor plus 3.0 (5.0% Floor) Notes payable Yes - two vears Construction December-2012 Notes payable 6.75% 5.760.000 Prime plus 1.50% (6.75% Floor) No Construction May-2014 Notes payable 5.75% 9.180,656 Libor plus 2.75 (5.75% Floor) No Other September-2014 6.00% 52,372,300 Libor plus 4.00 (6.0% Floor) Other Notes payable No October-2014 Notes payable 6.00% 3,245,144 Libor plus 3.25 (6.0% Floor) No Other December-2014 Notes payable 4.50% 8,610,000 Libor plus 4.00 (4.5% Floor) No Other December-2014 5.00% 10.000.000 Libor plus 3.0 (5.0% Floor) Notes payable Nο Other December-2015 Notes payable 6.00% 9.502.000 Libor plus 3.0 (6.0% Floor) Yes - two one year Other 3.35% Variable rate subtotal 304,119,619

5.09% \$ 1,406,845,703

Total fixed and variable debt

Debt Maturity Schedule and Interest Rates for Unconsolidated Fixed- and Variable-Rate Debt as of December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

12/31/2009

		12/31/2009				
Maturity Date	Description	Interest Rate	Amount	Basis for Rate	EXR Equity	EXR Share
Fixed rate debt:						
July-2010	Extra Space West One	4.59%	16,650,000	Fixed	5.0%	832,500
April-2011	Storage Portfolio I LLC	4.62%	115,000,000	Fixed	25.0%	28,750,000
December-2011	December-2011 Other JV - 50%		4,800,000	Fixed	50.0%	2,400,000
March-2012	Extra Space West Two LLC	5.48%	20,000,000	Fixed	5.57%	1,114,000
June-2012	Other JV - 20%	7.21%	3,906,000	Fixed	20.0%	781,200
July-2012	Other JV - 50%	7.20%	2,770,287	Fixed	50.0%	1,385,144
August-2012	ESS PRISA III LLC	4.97%	145,000,000	Fixed	5.0%	7,250,000
August-2012	ESS VRS III LLC	4.76%	52,100,000	Fixed	45.0%	23,445,000
August-2012	ESS WCOT III LLC	4.76%	92,140,000	Fixed	5.0%	4,607,000
January-2014	Other JV - 35%	5.90%	4,882,000	Fixed	35.0%	1,708,700
January-2014	Other JV - 35%	5.90%	3,519,000	Fixed	35.0%	1,231,650
July-2014	Storage Portfolio Bravo II	8.00%	60,085,022	Fixed	20.0%	12,017,004
September-2014	Other JV - 40%	6.02%	6,287,000	Fixed	40.0%	2,514,800
January-2015	Other JV - 40%	5.90%	9,749,000	Fixed	40.0%	3,899,600
June-2015	Extra Space Northern Properties Six	5.27%	34,500,000	Fixed	10.0%	3,450,000
January-2017	Other JV - 35%	5.95%	5,000,000	Fixed	35.0%	1,750,000
July-2017	Other JV - 35%	5.99%	8,425,000	Fixed	35.0%	2,948,750
September-2018	Other JV - 50%	5.93%	8,500,000	Swapped to Fixed	50.0%	4,250,000
	Fixed rate subtotal	5.29%	593,313,309	•		104,335,348
Variable rate debt:						
February-2010	Other JV - 10%	1.73%	5,412,000	Libor + 1.50	10.0%	541,200
March-2010	Storage Associates Holdco	1.73%	59,000,000	Libor + 1.50	10.0%	5,900,000
October-2010	Other JV - 35%	6.50%	5,220,000	L + 4.00 (6.5% Floor)	35.0%	1,827,000
November-2011	Other JV - 42.5%	2.98%	10,394,675	Libor + 2.75	42.5%	4,417,737
Movellinei-2011				- LIDUI + 2.75	42.5%	
	Variable rate subtotal	2.20%	80,026,675	-		12,685,937
	Total fixed and variable debt	4.92%	673,339,984	<u>.</u>		117,021,285

Property Portfolio Reporting Information for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands except for net rent per occupied square foot. Net rentable square feet in thousands.)

	# of Properties	Net Rentable Sq. Ft.	Net Rer Occupied	Sq. for the T	Occupancy hree Months ecember 31.	Reve for the Three I Decembe	Mon	ths Ended	fc	Expe or the Three Decembe	Mon	ths Ended		for	No the Three	Mon		
Store Segment		•		2009	2008	2009		2008	% Change	2009		2008	% Change		2009		2008	% Change
Wholly-owned stabilized properties ⁽⁵⁾																		
Same-store properties	252	18,092	\$ 13	.65 83.69	% 83.3% \$	54,434	\$	57,204	(4.84%) \$	19,220	\$	18,970	1.32%	\$	35,214	\$	38,234	(7.90%)
2008-2009 acquisitions	11	728	13	.60 83.69	% 84.8%	2,174		2,124	2.35%	698		716	(2.51%)		1,476		1,408	4.83%
Total wholly-owned stabilized	263	18,820	\$ 13	.65 83.69	% 83.3% S	56,608	\$	59,328	(4.58%) \$	19,918	\$	19,686	1.18%	\$	36,690	\$	39,642	(7.45%)
Wholly-owned lease-up properties																		
Legacy lease-up	2	147	\$ 15	.37 71.09	% 70.5% S	\$ 410	\$	453	(9.49%) \$	191	\$	167	14.37%	\$	219	\$	286	(23.43%)
2007-2009 acquisitions	3	206	14	.06 61.69	% 38.7%	488		362	34.81%	302		188	60.64%		186		174	6.90%
2006-2009 developments	22	1,698	9	.68 36.29	% 29.5 %	1,591		771	106.36%	1,484		806	84.12%		107		(35)	405.71%
Total wholly-owned lease-up	27	2,051	\$ 11	.04 41.39	% 35.1% s	2,489	\$	1,586	56.94% \$	1,977	\$	1,161	70.28%	\$	512	\$	425	20.47%
JV stabilized properties ⁽⁵⁾																		
Legacy JVs	17	1,065	\$ 16	.95 86.69	% 85.7% S	4,057	\$	4,297	(5.59%) \$	1,264	\$	1,401	(9.78%)	\$	2,793	\$	2,896	(3.56%)
2005 Prudential JVs	247	18,489	13	.25 83.89	% 83.6%	54,238		57,155	(5.10%)	19,054		19,047	0.04%		35,184		38,108	(7.67%)
Other JVs	72	5,400	12	.90 83.39	% 83.6%	15,446		16,274	(5.09%)	5,261		5,204	1.10%		10,185		11,070	(7.99%)
Total JV stabilized	336	24,954	\$ 13	.34 83.89	% 83.7% S	73,741	\$	77,726	(5.13%) \$	25,579	\$	25,652	(0.28%)	\$	48,162	\$	52,074	(7.51%)
JV lease-up properties																		
2005 Prudential JVs	1	75	\$ 13	.31 56.49	% 85.2 %	353	\$	255	38.43% \$	76	\$	99	(23.23%)	\$	277	\$	156	77.56%
Other JVs	7	517	10	.34 62.29	60.0%	889		824	7.89%	527		613	(14.03%)		362		211	71.56%
Consolidated JVs	8	606	10	.91 43.59	% 47.1 %	719		566	27.03%	514		395	30.13%		205		171	(19.88%)
Total JV lease-up	16	1,198	\$ 10	.78 52.79	% 56.7% S	1,961	\$	1,645	19.21% \$	1,117	\$	1,107	0.90%	\$	844	\$	538	56.88%
Managed properties																		
Stabilized ⁽⁵⁾	86	5,694	\$ 10	.97 74.59	% 73.5% \$	12,376	\$	12,827	(3.52%) \$	4,619	\$	4,734	(2.43%)	\$	7,757	\$	8,093	(4.15%)
Lease-up	38	2,771	9	.62 40.79	% 37.1 %	2,876		1,929	49.09%	2,044		1,494	36.81%		832		435	(91.26%)
Total managed	124	8,465	\$ 10	.69 63.69	64.8%	15,252	\$	14,756	3.36% \$	6,663	\$	6,228	6.98%	\$	8,589	\$	8,528	0.72%
TOTAL ALL PROPERTIES	766	55,488	\$ 13	.05 78.59	% 79.3% s	150,051	\$	155,041	(3.22%) \$	55,254	\$	53,834	2.64%	\$	94,797	\$	101,207	(6.33%)
TOTAL ALL STABILIZED PROPERTIES	685	49,468	\$ 13	.21 82.79	% 82.4% S	142,725	\$	149,881	(4.77%) \$	50,116	\$	50,072	0.09%	\$	92,609	\$	99,809	(7.21%)

⁽¹⁾ Net rent is annualized total rental revenue less discounts, bad debt and refunds. (2) Revenues do not include tenant reinsurance income. (3) Revenues and expenses include pro forma amounts to show the results of properties acquired during 2008 and 2009 as if they had been acquired at the beginning of the period indicated. (4) Expenses do not include management fees or tenant reinsurance expense. (5) A property is considered stabilized when it is either over three years old or has maintained 80% occupancy for one year.

Property Portfolio Reporting Information for the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands except for net rent per occupied square foot. Net rentable square feet in thousands.)

	# of Properties	Net Rentable Sq. Ft.	Net Rent / Occupied Sq. Ft. ⁽¹⁾	Average Od for the Yea	ar Ended	Reven for the Yea December	r Ended		Expens for the Year December 3	Ended		No for the Ye Decemb	ar Ended	
Store Segment				2009	2008	2009	2008	% Change	2009	2008	% Change	2009	2008	% Change
Wholly-owned stabilized properties ⁽⁵⁾														
Same-store properties	252	18,092	\$ 13.78	83.1%	84.7% \$	219,126	\$ 227,549	(3.70%) \$	77,945 \$	78,211	(0.34%) \$	141,181	\$ 149,338	(5.46%)
2008-2009 acquisitions	11	728	13.49	84.1%	86.9%	8,686	8,892	(2.32%)	2,964	2,928	1.23%	5,722	5,964	(4.06%)
Total wholly-owned stabilized	263	18,820	\$ 13.77	83.2%	84.8% \$	227,812	236,441	(3.65%) \$	80,909 \$	81,139	(0.28%) \$	146,903	\$ 155,302	(5.41%)
Wholly-owned lease-up properties														
Legacy lease-up	2	147	\$ 15.41	66.1%	85.9% \$	1,555	2,187	(28.90%) \$	812 \$	581	39.76% \$	743	\$ 1,606	(53.74%)
2007 acquisition	3	206	14.41	53.4%	39.9%	1,738	1,262	37.72%	1,030	861	19.63%	708	401	76.56%
2006-2009 developments	22	1,698	9.74	36.3%	31.2%	4,906	2,072	136.78%	4,604	2,300	100.17%	302	(228)	232.46%
Total wholly-owned lease-up	27	2,051	\$ 11.34	41.1%	40.7% \$	8,199	5,521	48.51% \$	6,446 \$	3,742	72.26% \$	1,753	\$ 1,779	(1.46%)
JV stabilized properties ⁽⁵⁾														
Legacy JVs	17	1,065	\$ 17.28	84.9%	87.3% \$	16,197	17,063	(5.08%) \$	4,740 \$	5,404	(12.29%) \$	11,457	\$ 11,659	(1.73%)
2005 Prudential JVs	247	18,489	13.40	83.2%	85.1%	217,907	227,206	(4.09%)	77,307	78,066	(0.97%)	140,600	149,140	(5.73%)
Other JVs	72	5,400	13.12	82.9%	85.0%	62,579	64,778	(3.39%)	21,167	21,379	(0.99%)	41,412	43,399	(4.58%)
Total JV stabilized	336	24,954	\$ 13.51	83.2%	85.2% \$	296,683	309,047	(4.00%) \$	103,214 \$	104,849	(1.56%) \$	193,469	\$ 204,198	(5.25%)
JV lease-up properties														
2005 Prudential JVs	1	75	\$ 14.09	64.8%	87.5% \$	947 9	1,046	(9.46%) \$	373 \$	390	(4.36%) \$	574	\$ 656	(12.50%)
Other JVs	7	517	10.72	60.5%	59.0%	3,413	3,130	9.04%	2,340	2,351	(0.47%)	1,073	779	37.74%
Consolidated JVs	8	606	11.15	46.9%	45.3%	2,573	1,872	37.45%	1,719	1,401	22.70%	854	471	(81.32%)
Total JV lease-up	16	1,198	\$ 11.18	54.7%	56.0% \$	6,933	6,048	14.63% \$	4,432 \$	4,142	7.00% \$	2,501	\$ 1,906	31.22%
Managed properties														
Stabilized ⁽⁵⁾	86	5,694	\$ 11.03	73.6%	75.7% \$	49,354	51,507	(4.18%) \$	18,633 \$	18,465	0.91% \$	30,721	\$ 33,042	(7.02%)
Lease-up	38	2,771	9.88	38.7%	38.3%	9,913	6,345	56.23%	7,771	5,085	52.82%	2,142	1,260	70.00%
Total managed	124	8,465	\$ 10.82	63.4%	68.1% \$	59,267	57,852	2.45% \$	26,404 \$	23,550	12.12% \$	32,863	\$ 34,302	(4.20%)
TOTAL ALL PROPERTIES	766	55,488	\$ 13.22	78.5%	81.5% \$	598,894	614,909	(2.60%) \$	221,405 \$	217,422	1.83% \$	377,489	\$ 397,487	(5.03%)
		-						·						
TOTAL ALL STABILIZED PROPERTIES	685	49,468	\$ 13.36	82.1%	84.0% \$	573,849	596,995	(3.88%) \$	202,756 \$	204,453	(0.83%) \$	371,093	\$ 392,542	(5.46%)

⁽¹⁾ Net rent is annualized total rental revenue less discounts, bad debt and refunds. (2) Revenues do not include tenant reinsurance income. (3) Revenues and expenses include pro forma amounts to show the results of properties acquired during 2008 and 2009 as if they had been acquired at the beginning of the period indicated. (4) Expenses do not include management fees or tenant reinsurance expense. (5) A property is considered stabilized when it is either over three years old or has maintained 80% occupancy for one year.

Same Store Rental Activity for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009

Same Store Rental Activity for the Year Ended December 31, 2009

Rentals

4Q 2009	4Q 2008	Variance	%
25,949	24,421	1,528	6.26%

Rentals

YTD 2009	YTD 2008	Variance	%
113,218	114,925	(1,707)	(1.49%)

Vacates

4Q 2009	4Q 2008	Variance	%
27,123	29,944	(2,821)	(9.42%)

Vacates

YTD 2009	YTD 2008	Variance	%
110,977	116,057	(5,080)	(4.38%)

Units	NRSF
172,030	18,092

Units	NRSF
172,030	18,092

Avg. SF 0	ccupancy	Quarter End Occupancy					
4Q 2009	4Q 2008	2009	2008				
83.6%	83.3%	83.2%	82.2%				

Avg. SF 0	ccupancy	Year End Occupancy			
YTD 2009	YTD 2008	2009	2008		
83.1% 84.7%		83.2%	82.2%		

Stabilized(1) Property Rental Activity (612 Properties) for the Three Months and Year Ended December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

Total Stable Rental Activity for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009

Total Stable Rental Activity for the Year Ended December 31, 2009

Rentals

4Q 2009	4Q 2008	Variance	%		
62,987	59,163	3,824	6.46%		

Rentals

YTD 2009	YTD 2008	Variance	%	
276,150	279,564	(3,414)	(1.22%)	

Vacates

4Q 2009	4Q 2008	Variance	%	
66,114	73,517	(7,403)	(10.07%)	

Units	NRSF
416,576	44,728

Vacates

YTD 2009	YTD 2008	Variance	%
271,416	284,311	(12,895)	(4.54%)

Units	NRSF
416,576	44,728

Avg SF Occupancy

Avg. SF 0	ccupancy	Quarter End Occupancy			
4Q 2009	4Q 2008	2009 2008			
83.8% 83.5%		83.4%	82.2%		

Avg. SF Occupancy Year End Occupancy

11.6. 1. 1						
YTD 2009 YTD 2008		2009	2008			
83.2%	84.9%	83.4%	82.2%			

⁽¹⁾ Includes all stabilized properties (wholly-owned same-store, wholly-owned stabilized, joint-venture stabilized and managed stabilized) with comparable year-on-year data for the reporting period.

Same-Store Results Detail for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009 (unaudited) (Dollars in thousands)

For the Three Months ended

	December 31,						
		2009		2008	V	ariance	% Variance
Property revenues							
Net rental income	\$	51,593	\$	54,094	\$	(2,501)	(4.62%)
Other operating income		2,840		3,110		(270)	(8.68%)
Tenant reinsurance income		2,064		1,659		405	24.41%
Total operating revenues	\$	56,497	\$	58,863	\$	(2,366)	(4.02%)
Operating expenses							
Payroll and benefits	\$	4,715	\$	4,879	\$	(164)	(3.36%)
Advertising		1,162		1,213		(51)	(4.20%)
Tenant reinsurance expense		532		421		111	26.37%
Office expense ⁽¹⁾		2,289		2,201		88	4.00%
Property operating expense ⁽²⁾		2,586		2,819		(233)	(8.27%)
Repairs and maintenance		1,859		1,805		54	2.99%
Property taxes		5,979		5,417		562	10.37%
Insurance		630		636		(6)	(0.94%)
Total operating expenses	\$	19,752	\$	19,391	\$	361	1.86%
Net operating income	\$	36,745	\$	39,472	\$	(2,727)	(6.91%)

⁽¹⁾ Includes general office expenses, telephone, computer, bank fees, and credit card merchant fees. (2) Includes utilities and miscellaneous other property expenses.

Same-Store Results Detail for the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (unaudited) (Dollars in thousands)

For the	Vaar	Fndad	Dacam	har 21

	FOI	For the real chaed December 31,					
		2009		2008	V	ariance	% Variance
Property revenues							
Net rental income	\$	207,167	\$	215,083	\$	(7,916)	(3.68%)
Other operating income		11,959		12,466		(507)	(4.07%)
Tenant reinsurance income		7,773		6,133		1,640	26.74%
Total operating revenues	\$	226,899	\$	233,682	\$	(6,783)	(2.90%)
Operating expenses							
Payroll and benefits	\$	18,874	\$	19,399	\$	(525)	(2.71%)
Advertising		4,726		5,109		(383)	(7.50%)
Tenant reinsurance expense		2,062		1,931		131	6.78%
Office expense ⁽¹⁾		9,331		8,823		508	5.76%
Property operating expense ⁽²⁾		11,418		11,754		(336)	(2.86%)
Repairs and maintenance		6,947		7,045		(98)	(1.39%)
Property taxes		24,171		23,192		979	4.22%
Insurance		2,480		2,889		(409)	(14.16%)
Total operating expenses	\$	80,009	\$	80,142	\$	(133)	(0.17%)
Net operating income	\$	146,890	\$	153,540	\$	(6,650)	(4.33%)
		_					

MSA⁽¹⁾ Performance Summary for Wholly-Owned Stabilized⁽²⁾ Properties for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009 (unaudited) (Dollars in thousands except for net rent per occupied square foot. Net rentable square feet in thousands.)

			Net Rent /	Average	Occupancy	Reven	ue		Expense			NO	l	
	# of	Net Rentable	Occupied Sq.	for the Th	ree Months	for the Thre		fo	or the Three Mo			for the Thre	e Months	
	Properties	Sq. Ft.	Ft. ⁽³⁾	Ended De	cember 31,	Ended Decem	ber 31, ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾		December 3	31, ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾		Ended Decer	nber 31, ⁽⁵⁾	
MSA				2009	2008	2009	2008	% Change	2009	2008	% Change	2009	2008	% Change
New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ	30	2,204	\$ 17.91	84.5%	83.1%	\$ 8,774	\$ 9,053	(3.08%) \$	3,198 \$	3,042	5.13%	\$ 5,576	\$ 6,011	(7.24%)
Boston-Worcester-Lawrence, MA-NH-ME-CT	28	1,695	13.97	84.0%	82.6%	5,243	5,329	(1.61%)	2,199	2,233	(1.52%)	3,044	3,096	(1.68%)
Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County, CA	24	1,819	15.48	83.0%	83.4%	6,124	6,603	(7.25%)	1,785	1,863	(4.19%)	4,339	4,740	(8.46%)
San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose, CA	14	1,234	18.63	81.3%	83.3%	4,897	5,116	(4.28%)	1,484	1,729	(14.17%)	3,413	3,387	0.77%
Washington-Baltimore, DC-MD-VA-WV	14	1,122	18.07	85.7%	82.5%	4,507	4,580	(1.59%)	1,288	1,271	1.34%	3,219	3,309	(2.72%)
Dallas-Fort Worth, TX	12	891	12.49	84.3%	85.1%	2,449	2,533	(3.32%)	881	841	4.76%	1,568	1,692	(7.33%)
Atlanta, GA	12	837	10.70	82.9%	84.4%	1,976	2,202	(10.26%)	714	722	(1.11%)	1,262	1,480	(14.73%)
Miami-Fort Lauderdale, FL	10	741	14.99	84.0%	83.8%	2,466	2,616	(5.73%)	900	916	(1.75%)	1,566	1,700	(7.88%)
Philadelphia-Wilmington-Atlantic City, PA-DE-NJ	8	672	10.97	84.8%	82.5%	1,680	1,772	(5.19%)	643	614	4.72%	1,037	1,158	(10.45%)
Chicago-Gary-Kenosha, IL-IN-WI	6	411	12.68	81.9%	80.8%	1,141	1,172	(2.65%)	536	257	108.56%	605	915	(33.88%)
Denver-Boulder-Greeley, CO	6	362	9.12	83.0%	83.7%	732	777	(5.79%)	319	297	7.41%	413	480	(13.96%)
Orlando, FL	5	428	10.63	82.7%	83.1%	999	1,111	(10.08%)	327	326	0.31%	672	785	(14.39%)
Memphis, TN-AR-MS	5	421	8.51	82.5%	83.9%	789	856	(7.83%)	315	302	4.30%	474	554	(14.44%)
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL	5	234	16.22	81.0%	85.8%	804	905	(11.16%)	257	289	(11.07%)	547	616	(11.20%)
Phoenix-Mesa, AZ	5	347	10.07	84.3%	82.2%	780	850	(8.24%)	281	269	4.46%	499	581	(14.11%)
St. Louis, MO-IL	5	304	10.94	83.0%	81.6%	750	789	(4.94%)	266	245	8.57%	484	544	(11.03%)
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton, FL	5	284	10.33	80.1%	80.6%	633	661	(4.24%)	315	298	5.70%	318	363	(12.40%)
Seattle-Tacoma-Bremerton, WA	4	308	13.12	92.0%	86.1%	970	898	8.02%	238	239	(0.42%)	732	659	11.08%
Stockton-Lodi, CA	4	252	9.51	79.3%	83.1%	514	579	(11.23%)	185	190	(2.63%)	329	389	(15.42%)
Indianapolis, IN	4	272	9.35	82.7%	84.1%	552	508	8.66%	224	231	(3.03%)	328	277	18.41%
Other MSAs	57	3,982	11.15	83.4%	83.6%	9,828	10,418	(5.66%)	3,563	3,512	1.45%	6,265	6,906	(9.28%)
TOTALS	263	18,820	\$ 13.65	83.6%	83.3%	\$ 56,608	\$ 59,328	(4.58%) \$	19,918 \$	19,686	1.18%	\$ 36,690	\$ 39,642	(7.45%)

⁽¹⁾ MSAs (Metropolitan Statistical Areas) as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau. List includes MSAs where the Company has two or more properties. (2) A property is considered stabilized when it is either over three years old or has maintained 80% occupancy for one year. (3) Net rent is annualized total rental revenue less discounts, bad debt and refunds. (4) Revenues do not include tenant reinsurance income. (5) Revenues and expenses include pro forma amounts to show the results of properties acquired during 2008 and 2009 as if they had been acquired at the beginning of the period indicated. (6) Expenses do not include management fees or tenant reinsurance expense.

MSA⁽¹⁾ Performance Summary for Wholly-Owned Stabilized⁽²⁾ Properties for the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands except for net rent per occupied square foot. Net rentable square feet in thousands.)

			Net Rent /	Average	Occupancy	Reve	nue		Expens	ses		N	OI	
	# of	Net Rentable	Occupied Sq.	for the \	ear Ended	for the Ye			for the Yea			for the Y	ear Ended	
	Properties	Sq. Ft.	Ft. ⁽³⁾	Decen	nber 31,	Decembe	r 31, ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾		December	31, ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾		Decemb	oer 31, ⁽⁵⁾	
MSA				2009	2008	2009	2008	% Change	2009	2008	% Change	2009	2008	% Change
New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ	30	2,204	\$ 18.11	83.5%	84.3%	\$ 35,114	\$ 36,092	(2.71%) \$	12,729	12,471	2.07%	\$ 22,385	\$ 23,621	(5.23%)
Boston-Worcester-Lawrence, MA-NH-ME-CT	28	1,695	14.09	82.9%	83.4%	20,921	20,985	(0.30%)	9,011	8,869	1.60%	11,910	12,116	(1.70%)
Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County, CA	24	1,819	15.71	82.6%	85.3%	24,817	26,491	(6.32%)	7,224	7,219	0.07%	17,593	19,272	(8.71%)
Washington-Baltimore, DC-MD-VA-WV	14	1,122	18.19	84.6%	84.1%	18,021	18,260	(1.31%)	5,035	5,003	0.64%	12,986	13,257	(2.04%)
San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose, CA	14	1,234	18.77	82.0%	82.6%	19,760	19,708	0.26%	6,069	6,444	(5.82%)	13,691	13,264	3.22%
Atlanta, GA	12	837	11.05	82.3%	86.5%	8,091	8,744	(7.47%)	2,914	2,851	2.21%	5,177	5,893	(12.15%)
Dallas-Fort Worth, TX	12	891	12.40	84.9%	86.9%	9,838	10,081	(2.41%)	3,587	3,654	(1.83%)	6,251	6,427	(2.74%)
Miami-Fort Lauderdale, FL	10	741	15.24	83.4%	83.4%	9,967	10,445	(4.58%)	3,684	3,872	(4.86%)	6,283	6,573	(4.41%)
Philadelphia-Wilmington-Atlantic City, PA-DE-NJ	8	672	11.25	83.1%	83.3%	6,758	7,094	(4.74%)	2,613	2,550	2.47%	4,145	4,544	(8.78%)
Denver-Boulder-Greeley, CO	6	362	9.00	83.2%	86.8%	2,915	3,068	(4.99%)	1,220	1,165	4.72%	1,695	1,903	(10.93%)
Chicago-Gary-Kenosha, IL-IN-WI	6	411	12.66	81.9%	82.2%	4,569	4,739	(3.59%)	1,950	1,813	7.56%	2,619	2,926	(10.49%)
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton, FL	5	284	10.36	79.9%	80.2%	2,537	2,633	(3.65%)	1,200	1,224	(1.96%)	1,337	1,409	(5.11%)
St. Louis, MO-IL	5	304	10.97	82.0%	86.4%	2,974	3,245	(8.35%)	1,182	1,200	(1.50%)	1,792	2,045	(12.37%)
Phoenix-Mesa, AZ	5	347	10.27	83.2%	86.5%	3,153	3,500	(9.91%)	1,169	1,121	4.28%	1,984	2,379	(16.60%)
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL	5	234	16.23	82.4%	88.6%	3,288	3,654	(10.02%)	1,214	1,285	(5.53%)	2,074	2,369	(12.45%)
Memphis, TN-AR-MS	5	421	8.74	82.5%	85.4%	3,247	3,452	(5.94%)	1,265	1,215	4.12%	1,982	2,237	(11.40%)
Orlando, FL	5	428	10.86	81.8%	84.5%	4,054	4,445	(8.80%)	1,406	1,416	(0.71%)	2,648	3,029	(12.58%)
Indianapolis, IN	4	272	9.28	82.9%	87.5%	2,202	2,348	(6.22%)	964	1,015	(5.02%)	1,238	1,333	(7.13%)
Stockton-Lodi, CA	4	252	9.88	79.1%	81.8%	2,138	2,192	(2.46%)	761	743	2.42%	1,377	1,449	(4.97%)
Seattle-Tacoma-Bremerton, WA	4	309	12.55	89.6%	87.5%	3,657	3,501	4.46%	975	985	(1.02%)	2,682	2,516	6.60%
Other MSAs	57	3,981	11.25	83.6%	85.8%	39,791	41,764	(4.72%)	14,737	15,024	(1.91%)	25,054	26,740	(6.31%)
TOTALS	263	18,820	\$ 13.77	83.2%	84.8%	\$ 227,812	\$ 236,441	(3.65%) \$	80,909	81,139	(0.28%)	\$ 146,903	\$ 155,302	(5.41%)

⁽¹⁾ MSAs (Metropolitan Statistical Areas) as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau. List includes MSAs where the Company has three or more properties. (2) A property is considered stabilized when it is either over three years old or has maintained 80% occupancy for one year. (3) Net rent is annualized total rental revenue less discounts, bad debt and refunds. (4) Revenues do not include tenant reinsurance income. (5) Revenues and expenses include pro forma amounts to show the results of properties acquired during 2008 and 2009 as if they had been acquired at the beginning of the period indicated. (6) Expenses do not include management fees or tenant reinsurance expense.

MSA⁽¹⁾ Performance Summary for All Stabilized⁽²⁾ Properties for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009 (unaudited) (Dollars in thousands except for net rent per occupied square foot. Net rentable square feet in thousands.)

		N Net Rentable Oc	let Rent /	ū	Occupancy hree Months	Reve			Expension for the Thre			NO for the Thre		
	# of Properties	Sq. Ft.	Ft. ⁽³⁾		cember 31.	Ended Decen			Ended Decem			Ended Decer		
MSA	с. г. орогиос			2009	2008	2009	2008	% Change	2009	2008	% Change	2009	2008	% Change
Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County, CA	69	5,129 \$	15.43	83.3%	84.5%	\$ 17,335		(7.11%)	\$ 5,566			11,769		(9.64%)
New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ	69	5,523	19.12	84.9%	83.2%	23,669	24,300	(2.60%)	8,174	8,085	1.10%	15,495	16,215	(4.44%)
Washington-Baltimore, DC-MD-VA-WV	55	4,136	17.44	85.9%	83.2%	16,152	16,330	(1.09%)	4,390	4,335	1.27%	11,762	11,995	(1.94%)
Boston-Worcester-Lawrence, MA-NH-ME-CT	47	2,837	13.67	82.4%	81.3%	8,430	8,573	(1.67%)	3,674	3,667	0.19%	4,756	4,906	(3.06%)
Philadelphia-Wilmington-Atlantic City, PA-DE-NJ	36	2,590	11.99	81.7%	80.0%	6,731	7,116	(5.41%)	2,616	2,492	4.98%	4,115	4,624	(11.01%)
San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose, CA	32	2,371	18.58	83.3%	85.4%	9,595	10,176	(5.71%)	2,831	3,132	(9.61%)	6,764	7,044	(3.98%)
Dallas-Fort Worth, TX	29	2,404	10.51	83.5%	82.6%	5,528	5,748	(3.83%)	2,036	1,903	6.99%	3,492	3,845	(9.18%)
Miami-Fort Lauderdale, FL	26	2,063	13.99	82.4%	83.4%	6,350	6,893	(7.88%)	2,394	2,439	(1.85%)	3,956	4,454	(11.18%)
Memphis, TN-AR-MS	23	1,680	8.33	83.0%	83.7%	3,123	3,320	(5.93%)	1,328	1,249	6.33%	1,795	2,071	(13.33%)
Atlanta, GA	20	1,484	9.13	80.0%	81.1%	2,923	3,245	(9.92%)	1,122	1,155	(2.86%)	1,801	2,090	(13.83%)
Chicago-Gary-Kenosha, IL-IN-WI	17	1,176	12.30	80.7%	80.2%	3,079	3,163	(2.66%)	1,336	1,265	5.61%	1,743	1,898	(8.17%)
Phoenix-Mesa, AZ	14	977	9.84	83.2%	83.7%	2,130	2,363	(9.86%)	734	611	20.13%	1,396	1,752	(20.32%)
Columbus, OH	14	857	7.53	79.5%	80.1%	1,396	1,473	(5.23%)	677	681	(0.59%)	719	792	(9.22%)
Indianapolis, IN	12	694	8.32	83.8%	81.5%	1,299	1,274	1.96%	425	601	(29.28%)	874	673	29.87%
Las Vegas, NV-AZ	11	922	8.91	83.1%	84.0%	1,826	1,965	(7.07%)	590	604	(2.32%)	1,236	1,361	(9.18%)
Sacramento-Yolo, CA	11	836	10.44	81.7%	82.8%	1,880	2,018	(6.84%)	656	761	(13.80%)	1,224	1,257	(2.63%)
Kansas City, MO-KS	11	819	6.64	74.9%	77.3%	1,109	1,150	(3.57%)	550	487	12.94%	559	663	(15.69%)
Detroit-Ann Arbor-Flint, MI	10	795	8.63	83.0%	85.0%	1,525	1,623	(6.04%)	622	672	(7.44%)	903	951	(5.05%)
Albuquerque, NM	9	510	9.91	82.8%	83.4%	1,122	1,143	(1.84%)	402	388	3.61%	720	755	(4.64%)
Denver-Boulder-Greeley, CO	9	552	9.80	83.0%	83.2%	1,201	1,246	(3.61%)	480	484	(0.83%)	721	762	(5.38%)
Houston-Galveston-Brazoria, TX	8	565	12.26	85.8%	89.2%	1,558	1,687	(7.65%)	568	476	19.33%	990	1,211	(18.25%)
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton, FL	8	542	11.38	80.0%	80.9%	1,313	1,413	(7.08%)	553	558	(0.90%)	760	855	(11.11%)
Louisville, KY-IN	8	529	8.61	85.5%	84.1%	1,061	1,078	(1.58%)	393	371	5.93%	668	707	(5.52%)
San Diego, CA	7	738	12.86	85.8%	85.4%	2,141	2,329	(8.07%)	668	684	(2.34%)	1,473	1,645	(10.46%)
Hartford, CT	7	560	9.75	81.8%	78.1%	1,205	1.248	(3.45%)	522	523	(0.19%)	683	725	(5.79%)
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL	7	377	13.31	81.7%	84.5%	1,095	1,224	(10.54%)	374	394	(5.08%)	721	830	(13.13%)
Nashville, TN	6	413	10.20	80.6%	83.1%	897	903	(0.66%)	313	330	(5.15%)	584	573	1.92%
St. Louis, MO-IL	6	365	10.82	83.0%	83.0%	889	941	(5.53%)	315	297	6.06%	574	644	(10.87%)
York-Hanover, PA	6	310	8.97	54.0%	59.6%	403	521	(22.65%)	236	195	21.03%	167	326	(48.77%)
Orlando, FL	5	428	10.63	82.7%	83.1%	999	1,111	(10.08%)	327	326	0.31%	672	785	(14.39%)
Albany-Schenectady-Troy, NY	5	347	9.85	83.1%	81.7%	751	758	(0.92%)	321	315	1.90%	430	443	(2.93%)
Stockton-Lodi, CA	5	335	9.09	79.7%	82.9%	659	739	(10.83%)	242	247	(2.02%)	417	492	(15.24%)
Hagerstown-Martinsburg, MD-WV	5	348	10.12	58.2%	59.2%	555	589	(5.77%)	270	237	13.92%	285	352	(19.03%)
Cleveland-Akron, OH	5	333	5.80	76.3%	72.9%	396	411	(3.65%)	226	221	2.26%	170	190	(10.53%)
Seattle-Tacoma-Bremerton, WA	4	308	13.12	92.0%	86.1%	970	898	8.02%	238	239	(0.42%)	732	659	11.08%
Richmond-Petersburg, VA	4	319	12.52	83.6%	85.3%	870	914	(4.81%)	240	240	0.00%	630	674	(6.53%)
Portland-Salem, OR-WA	4	302	11.70	85.1%	80.6%	792	848	(6.60%)	224	230	(2.61%)	568	618	(8.09%)
Birmingham, AL	4	283	10.58	82.1%	83.4%	644	751	(14.25%)	196	278	(29.50%)	448	473	(5.29%)
Providence-Fall River-Warwick, RI-MA	4	303	10.81	80.3%	80.5%	709	758	(6.46%)	288	268	7.46%	421	490	(14.08%)
Salt Lake City-Ogden, UT	4	258	9.26	85.8%	88.9%	541	588	(7.99%)	130	153	(15.03%)	411	435	(5.52%)
Scranton-Wilkes Barre, PA	4	132	9.70	51.0%	46.0%	176	189	(6.88%)	126	106	18.87%	50	83	(39.76%)
Other MSAs	45	3.018	11.60	83.3%	82.2%	7.698	8.203	(6.16%)	2.744	2.736	0.29%	4.954	5.467	(9.38%)
TOTALS	685	49,468 \$	13.21	82.7%	82.4%	,	\$ 149,881	(4.77%)		,	0.09%	, -	, -	(7.21%)

⁽¹⁾ MSAs (Metropolitan Statistical Areas) as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau. List includes MSAs where the Company has two or more properties. (2) A property is considered stabilized when it is either over three years old or has maintained 80% occupancy for one year. (3) Net rent is annualized total rental revenue less discounts, bad debt and refunds. (4) Revenues do not include tenant reinsurance income. (5) Revenues and expenses include pro forma amounts to show the results of properties acquired during 2008 and 2009 as if they had been acquired at the beginning of the period indicated. (6) Expenses do not include management fees or tenant reinsurance expense.

MSA⁽¹⁾ Performance Summary for All Stabilized⁽²⁾ Properties for the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (unaudited) (Dollars in thousands except for net rent per occupied square foot. Net rentable square feet in thousands.)

			Net Rent /	Average	Occupancy	Reve	nue		Expens	ses		NO	OI.	
	# of	Net Rentable (Occupied Sq.	Ū	ear Ended	for the Ye			for the Yea			for the Ye		
	Properties	Sq. Ft.	Ft. ⁽³⁾		nber 31.	Decembe	er 31. ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾		December	31. ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾		Decemb	er 31. ⁽⁵⁾	
MSA		- 4		2009	2008	2009	2008	% Change	2009	2008	% Change	2009	2008	% Change
Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County, CA	69	5,129	\$ 15.68	83.3%	86.1%	\$ 70,535	\$ 74,613	(5.47%) \$	22,255		(0.97%) \$	48,280	\$ 52,141	(7.40%)
New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ	69	5,523	19.31	83.6%	84.2%	94,193	96,106	(1.99%)	32,532	33,036	(1.53%)	61,661	63,070	(2.23%)
Washington-Baltimore, DC-MD-VA-WV	55	4,136	17.49	84.8%	85.0%	64,110	64,878	(1.18%)	17,271	17,229	0.24%	46,839	47,649	(1.70%)
Boston-Worcester-Lawrence, MA-NH-ME-CT	47	2,837	13.78	81.6%	82.3%	33,692	34,005	(0.92%)	15,143	14,608	3.66%	18,549	19,397	(4.37%)
Philadelphia-Wilmington-Atlantic City, PA-DE-NJ	36	2,590	12.30	80.1%	81.0%	27,047	28,480	(5.03%)	10,357	10,113	2.41%	16,690	18,367	(9.13%)
San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose, CA	32	2,371	18.79	83.6%	86.2%	38,845	39,581	(1.86%)	11,598	12,005	(3.39%)	27,247	27,576	(1.19%)
Dallas-Fort Worth, TX	29	2,404	10.62	82.7%	82.9%	22,238	22,943	(3.07%)	8,292	8,447	(1.83%)	13,946	14,496	(3.79%)
Miami-Fort Lauderdale, FL	26	2,063	14.27	81.9%	84.0%	25,739	27,598	(6.74%)	10,112	10,435	(3.10%)	15,627	17,163	(8.95%)
Memphis, TN-AR-MS	23	1,680	8.45	83.1%	86.2%	12,698	13,455	(5.63%)	5,286	5,040	4.88%	7,412	8,415	(11.92%)
Atlanta, GA	20	1,484	9.45	79.0%	83.3%	11,957	12,988	(7.94%)	4,552	4,601	(1.06%)	7,405	8,387	(11.71%)
Chicago-Gary-Kenosha, IL-IN-WI	17	1,176	12.29	80.5%	81.1%	12,318	12,748	(3.37%)	5,353	5,753	(6.95%)	6,965	6,995	(0.43%)
Phoenix-Mesa, AZ	14	977	10.15	83.0%	86.3%	8,789	9,669	(9.10%)	3,055	2,963	3.10%	5,734	6,706	(14.49%)
Columbus, OH	14	857	7.57	79.5%	83.4%	5,677	5,901	(3.80%)	2,781	2,761	0.72%	2,896	3,140	(7.77%)
Indianapolis, IN	12	694	8.44	81.9%	84.9%	5,153	5,489	(6.12%)	2,183	2,606	(16.23%)	2,970	2,883	3.02%
Las Vegas, NV-AZ	11	922	9.02	82.8%	85.6%	7,394	7,833	(5.60%)	2,353	2,405	(2.16%)	5,041	5,428	(7.13%)
Sacramento-Yolo, CA	11	836	10.62	81.2%	84.5%	7,634	7,946	(3.93%)	2,684	2,832	(5.23%)	4,950	5,114	(3.21%)
Kansas City, MO-KS	11	819	6.47	76.8%	78.0%	4,464	4,560	(2.11%)	2,109	2,031	3.84%	2,355	2,529	(6.88%)
Detroit-Ann Arbor-Flint, MI	10	795	8.67	83.6%	87.3%	6,175	6,537	(5.54%)	2,484	2,670	(6.97%)	3,691	3,867	(4.55%)
Albuquerque, NM	9	510	9.79	82.7%	83.5%	4,423	4,501	(1.73%)	1,549	1,536	0.85%	2,874	2,965	(3.07%)
Denver-Boulder-Greeley, CO	9	552	9.62	83.4%	86.1%	4,758	4,950	(3.88%)	1,862	1,916	(2.82%)	2,896	3,034	(4.55%)
Houston-Galveston-Brazoria, TX	8	565	12.44	85.7%	88.7%	6,332	6,437	(1.63%)	2,360	2,365	(0.21%)	3,972	4,072	(2.46%)
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton, FL	8	542	11.52	79.4%	81.0%	5,282	5,665	(6.76%)	2,204	2,267	(2.78%)	3,078	3,398	(9.42%)
Louisville, KY-IN	8 7	529	8.55	84.4%	86.1%	4,166	4,244	(1.84%)	1,515	1,521	(0.39%)	2,651	2,723	(2.64%)
San Diego, CA	7	738 377	13.05	84.9%	88.3%	8,646	9,270	(6.73%)	2,700	2,696	0.15%	5,946	6,574	(9.55%)
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL	7		13.56	82.5%	87.3%	4,474	4,939	(9.41%)	1,686	1,789	(5.76%)	2,788	3,150	(11.49%)
Hartford, CT Nashville, TN	6	560 413	10.17 9.99	80.1% 81.6%	78.3% 88.1%	4,914 3,496	4,996 3,734	(1.64%) (6.37%)	2,128 1,323	1,963 1,259	8.41% 5.08%	2,786 2,173	3,033 2,475	(8.14%) (12.20%)
St. Louis. MO-IL	6	365	10.84	82.5%	87.0%	3,537	3,734	(7.55%)	1,402	1,239	(0.99%)	2,173	2,410	(12.20%)
York-Hanover. PA	6	310	9.19	55.3%	64.0%	1,700	2.016	(15.67%)	924	849	8.83%	776	1.167	(33.50%)
Orlando, FL	5	428	10.86	81.8%	84.5%	4,054	4,445	(8.80%)	1.406	1,416	(0.71%)	2.648	3,029	(12.58%)
Stockton-Lodi, CA	5	335	9.43	79.4%	82.7%	2,734	2,846	(3.94%)	975	967	0.83%	1.759	1.879	(6.39%)
Albany-Schenectady-Troy, NY	5	347	10	82.8%	84.4%	3,023	3,084	(1.98%)	1,273	1,243	2.41%	1.750	1,841	(4.94%)
Hagerstown-Martinsburg, MD-WV	5	348	10.12	57.0%	61.9%	2,205	2,420	(8.88%)	993	926	7.24%	1,212	1.494	(18.88%)
Cleveland-Akron, OH	5	333	5.96	75.1%	74.4%	1,600	1,707	(6.27%)	964	920	4.78%	636	787	(19.19%)
Richmond-Petersburg, VA	4	319	12.43	85.4%	86.6%	3,542	3,695	(4.14%)	982	1,005	(2.29%)	2,560	2,690	(4.83%)
Seattle-Tacoma-Bremerton, WA	4	308	12.55	89.6%	87.5%	3,657	3,501	4.46%	975	985	(1.02%)	2,682	2,516	6.60%
Portland-Salem, OR-WA	4	302	11.83	85.0%	87.5%	3,222	3,534	(8.83%)	893	914	(2.30%)	2,329	2,620	(11.11%)
Birmingham, AL	4	283	10.81	82.8%	85.0%	2.652	2.866	(7.47%)	776	862	(9.98%)	1.876	2.004	(6.39%)
Providence-Fall River-Warwick, RI-MA	4	303	11.02	80.2%	82.2%	2,878	3,035	(5.17%)	1,131	1,069	5.80%	1,747	1,966	(11.14%)
Salt Lake City-Ogden, UT	4	258	9.30	87.3%	92.8%	2,217	2,296	(3.44%)	618	707	(12.59%)	1,599	1,589	0.63%
Scranton-Wilkes Barre, PA	4	131	10.67	47.4%	49.1%	727	805	(9.69%)	473	413	14.53%	254	392	(35.20%)
Other MSAs	45	3,019	11.76	82.5%	86.2%	30,952	32,853	(5.79%)	11,244	11,442	(1.73%)	19,708	21,411	21.09%
TOTALS	685	49,468	\$ 13.36	82.1%	84.0%	\$ 573,849	\$ 596,995	(3.88%) \$	202,756	204,453	(0.83%) \$	371,093	\$ 392,542	(5.46%)

⁽¹⁾ MSAs (Metropolitan Statistical Areas) as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau. List includes MSAs where the Company has three or more properties. (2) A property is considered stabilized when it is either over three years old or has maintained 80% occupancy for one year. (3) Net rent is annualized total rental revenue less discounts, bad debt and refunds. (4) Revenues do not include tenant reinsurance income. (5) Revenues and expenses include pro forma amounts to show the results of properties acquired during 2008 and 2009 as if they had been acquired at the beginning of the period indicated. (6) Expenses do not include management fees or tenant reinsurance expense.

2005 – 2008 Development Performance Summary for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009 (unaudited) (dollars and net rentable square feet in thousands)

	# of	De	velopment	Net Rentable	Average Oc for the Thre		fc	Reve or the Th			fo	Expe or the Th		_	fc	N or the Thr	OI ee l	Months
	Properties		Cost	Sq. Ft.	Ended De	ec. 31,		Ended D	ec.	31, ⁽¹⁾		Ended D	ec.	31 , ⁽²⁾		Ended I	Dec.	. 31,
					2009	2008		2009		2008		2009		2008		2009	:	2008
Wholly-owned projects (3)																		
Opened in 2008 ⁽⁴⁾	9	\$	74,979	651	42.5%	11.8%	\$	719	\$	143	\$	627	\$	523	\$	92	\$	(380)
Opened in 2007	4		34,327	286	67.6%	49.1%		590		442		267		342		323		100
Opened in 2006	5		40,335	341	70.5%	67.9%		910		912		406		224		504		688
Opened in 2005	1		7,217	75	86.7%	87.6%		280		316		78		162		202		154
Total wholly-owned projects	19	\$	156,858	1,353	57.3%	39.1%	\$	2,499	\$	1,813	\$	1,378	\$	1,251	\$	1,121	\$	562
Joint-venture projects (3)																		
Opened in 2008	1	\$	7,950	60	36.1%	6.2%	\$	52	\$	10	\$	98	\$	74	\$	(46)	\$	(64)
Opened in 2007	2		17,744	162	56.2%	49.9%		269		226		124		164		145		62
Opened in 2006	6		52,193	482	67.9%	61.0%		1,191		1,129		462		536		729		593
Opened in 2005	3		22,212	266	83.6%	82.8%		694		712		204		220		490		492
Total joint-venture projects	12	\$	100,099	970	68.3%	61.7%	\$	2,206	\$	2,077	\$	888	\$	994	\$	1,318	\$	1,083

⁽¹⁾ Revenues do not include tenant reinsurance income. (2) Expenses do not include management fees or tenant reinsurance expense. (3) EXR acquired interests in 15 properties (three wholly-owned and 12 in joint ventures) on December 31, 2008 that had been developed by Extra Space Development. (4) Includes one project acquired at certificate of occupancy.

2005 – 2008 Development Performance Summary for the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (unaudited) (dollars and net rentable square feet in thousands)

	# of Properties	velopment Cost	Net Rentable Sq. Ft.	Average Oc for the Year E 31,	inded Dec.	1	Revolution	ear	Ended	•	Expe for the Yo Dec.	ear	Ended	for	the Year	OI r End 1,	ded Dec.
				2009	2008		2009		2008		2009		2008		2009		2008
Wholly-owned projects (3)																	
Opened in 2008 ⁽⁴⁾	9	\$ 74,979	651	30.9%	9.6%	\$	1,985	\$	187	\$	2,534	\$	950	\$	(549)	\$	(763)
Opened in 2007	4	34,327	286	59.2%	37.2%		2,075		1,283		1,031		1,135		1,044		148
Opened in 2006	5	40,335	341	68.9%	65.9%		3,578		3,399		1,625		1,515		1,953		1,884
Opened in 2005	1	7,217	75	84.7%	87.3%		1,127		1,246		118		431		1,009		815
Total wholly-owned projects	19	\$ 156,858	1,353	49.5%	43.7%	\$	8,765	\$	6,115	\$	5,308	\$	4,031	\$	3,457	\$	2,084
Joint-venture projects (3)																	
Opened in 2008	1	\$ 7,950	60	26.2%	4.0%	\$	140	\$	10	\$	337	\$	112	\$	(197)	\$	(102)
Opened in 2007	2	17,744	162	54.7%	41.6%		1,027		730		575		559		452		171
Opened in 2006	6	52,193	482	64.5%	60.3%		4,613		4,111		1,999		2,174		2,614		1,937
Opened in 2005	3	22,212	266	83.0%	80.9%		2,772		2,758		893		1,076		1,879		1,682
Total joint-venture projects	12	\$ 100,099	970	65.5%	61.4%	\$	8,552	\$	7,609	\$	3,804	\$	3,921	\$	4,748	\$	3,688

⁽¹⁾ Revenues do not include tenant reinsurance income. (2) Expenses do not include management fees or tenant reinsurance expense. (3) EXR acquired interests in 15 properties (three wholly-owned and 12 in joint ventures) on December 31, 2008 that had been developed by Extra Space Development. (4) Includes one project acquired at certificate of occupancy.

2005 Development Completions and Performance Summary as of December 31, 2009 (unaudited) (dollars in thousands)

			Net					Sq. Ft. Occ.	YTD	NOI as of	Annu	alized NOI
Location	Month Completed	Type of Project	Rentable Sq. Ft.	To	tal Cost ⁽¹⁾	Ownership ⁽²⁾	EXR Ownership	% as of Dec. 31, 2009		ec. 31, 009 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾		Dec. 31, 19 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾
Carson, CA	February	Ground Up	80,070	\$	7,381	Joint Venture	5.6%	87.6%	\$	801	\$	754
Chatsworth, CA	March	Ground Up	69,340		7,619	Joint Venture	5.6%	82.4%		657		652
Naperville, IL	March	Ground Up	116,525		7,212	Joint Venture	10%	80.5%		421		363
North Hollywood, CA	March	Ground Up	75,350		7,217	Wholly-Owned	100%	86.6%		1,009		779
	Total Grou	nd Up Projects	341,285	\$	29,429				\$	2,888	\$	2,548

⁽¹⁾ Includes 5% development fee, land, construction and soft costs. (2) North Hollywood, CA was acquired in 2006 after being developed by Extra Space Development in 2005. EXR acquired an interest in Carson, CA; Chatsworth, CA; and Naperville, IL on December 31, 2008 after being developed by Extra Space Development in 2005. (3) Revenues do not include tenant reinsurance income or tenant reinsurance expense. (4) Expenses do not include management fees or tenant reinsurance expense. (5) December 2009 NOI annualized.

2006 Development Completions and Performance Summary as of December 31, 2009 (unaudited) (dollars in thousands)

Location	Month Completed	Type of Project	Net Rentable Sq. Ft.	Tot	al Cost ⁽¹⁾	Ownership ⁽²⁾	EXR Ownership	Sq. Ft. Occ. % as of Dec. 31, 2009	D	NOI as of ec. 31, 009 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	as of	alized NOI Dec. 31, 19 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾
San Francisco, CA	February	Ground Up	74,790	\$	15,028	Joint Venture	5.6%	88.0%	\$	1,218	\$	1,204
Baltimore, MD	March	Ground Up	71,349		7,488	Joint Venture	10%	73.7%		462		433
Johnston, RI	March	Ground Up	55,985		4,921	Joint Venture	10%	74.0%		87		69
Peoria, AZ	March	Ground Up	67,175		4,778	Wholly-Owned	100%	82.8%		248		292
Culver City, CA	May	Ground Up	51,346		7,787	Wholly-Owned	100%	87.7%		705		713
Jamaica Plain, MA	May	Ground Up	71,937		15,916	Wholly-Owned	100%	63.2%		535		428
Chicago, IL	June	Ground Up	74,244		8,987	Joint Venture	10%	71.8%		593		595
San Bernardino, CA	June	Ground Up	83,200		5,827	Wholly-Owned	100%	46.6%		115		71
Worcester, MA	October	Ground Up	67,565		6,026	Wholly-Owned	100%	80.9%		349		345
Sacramento, CA	November	Ground Up	91,780		7,650	Joint Venture	40%	61.3%		193		179
Lake Worth, FL	December	Ground Up	113,485		8,120	Joint Venture	10%	53.3%		62		72
	Total Grou	ınd Up Projects	822,856	\$	92,528				\$	4,567	\$	4,401

⁽¹⁾ Includes 5% development fee, land, construction and soft costs. (2) Culver City, CA and Jamaica Plain, MA were acquired December 31, 2008 after being developed by Extra Space Development in 2006. EXR acquired an interest in San Francisco, CA; Johnston, RI; and Lake Worth, FL on December 31, 2008 after being developed by Extra Space Development in 2006. (3) Revenues do not include tenant reinsurance income. (4) Expenses do not include management fees or tenant reinsurance expense. (5) December 2009 NOI annualized.

2007 Development Completions and Performance Summary as of December 31, 2009 (unaudited) (dollars in thousands)

			Net					Sq. Ft. Occ. %	YTD	NOI as of	Annu	alized NOI
	Month	Type of	Rentable Sq.				EXR	as of Dec. 31,	D	ec. 3 1 ,	as of	Dec. 31,
Location	Completed	Project	Ft.	To	tal Cost ⁽¹⁾	Ownership ⁽²⁾	Ownership	2009	20)09 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	200)9 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾
Sacramento, CA	January	Ground Up	78,822	\$	10,599	Joint Venture	50%	78.7%	\$	453	\$	709
Ewing, NJ	March	Ground Up	57,190		5,681	Wholly-Owned	100%	64.3%		45		88
Belmont, CA	May	Ground Up	69,220		10,800	Wholly-Owned	100%	75.0%		537		649
Chicago, IL	October	Ground Up	79,100		9,100	Wholly-Owned	100%	60.9%		75		100
Sacramento, CA	December	Ground Up	82,885		7,145	Joint Venture	50%	37.8%		(1)		27
Laurel, MD	December	Ground Up	80,052		8,746	Wholly-Owned	100%	69.5%		387		410
	Total Grou	and Up Projects	447,269	\$	52,071				\$	1,496	\$	1,983

⁽¹⁾ Includes 5% development fee, land, construction and soft costs. (2) Ewing, NJ was deeded to EXR by the joint venture on June 1, 2009. (3) Revenues do not include tenant reinsurance income. (4) Expenses do not include management fees or tenant reinsurance expense. (5) December 2009 NOI annualized.

2008 Development Completions and Performance Summary as of December 31, 2009 (unaudited) (dollars in thousands)

Location	Month Completed	Type of Project	Net Rentable Sq. Ft.	Total Co	st ⁽¹⁾ Ownership	EXR Ownership %	Sq. Ft. Occ. % as of Dec. 31, 2009	YTD NOI as of Dec. 31, 2009 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	Annualized I as of Dec. 3 2009 ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴	31,
Aurora, IL	April	Ground Up	77,515	\$ 6,4	Wholly-Owned	100%	34.9%	\$ (43)	\$ (9	91)
Sylmar, CA ⁽⁵⁾	April	Ground Up	57,091	7,5	511 Wholly-Owned	100%	49.8%	14	1:	22
Antelope, CA	July	Ground Up	102,265	9,5	525 Wholly-Owned	100%	42.5%	(39)	;	38
Hialeah, FL	August	Ground Up	71,545	10,4	149 Wholly-Owned	100%	34.3%	(126)	(6	66)
Tinley Park, IL	August	Ground Up	46,315	6,4	198 Wholly-Owned	100%	68.4%	(161)	(!	59)
Bellmawr, NJ	August	Ground Up	59,993	7,9	Joint Venture	70%	37.7%	(197)	(14	41)
Compton, CA	August	Ground Up	81,280	8,5	Wholly-Owned	100%	38.8%	(136)		4
Los Angeles, CA	September	Ground Up	71,482	10,2	209 Wholly-Owned	100%	53.0%	68	2	71
Baltimore, MD	October	Ground Up	69,885	7,0	081 Wholly-Owned	100%	38.6%	(64)	2	21
Naperville, IL	December	Ground Up	73,335	8,6	Wholly-Owned	100%	43.3%	(62)	-	79
	Total Groun	d Up Projects	710,706	\$ 82,9	<u> </u>			\$ (746)	\$ 1	78

⁽¹⁾ Includes 5% development fee, land, construction and soft costs. (2) Revenues do not include tenant reinsurance income. (3) Expenses do not include management fees or tenant reinsurance expense. (4) December 2009 NOI annualized. (5) Acquired at certificate of occupancy from unrelated third party.

2009 Development Schedule and Costs Incurred as of December 31, 2009 (unaudited) (dollars in thousands)

	Quarter of	Quarter of	Net Rentable Sq.	Bud	geted Total	_	(2)		EXR Ownership
Location	Completion	Start	Ft.		Cost ⁽¹⁾	Cos	t Incurred ⁽²⁾	Ownership	<u> </u>
Estero, FL	Completed Q3	Q3 '08	83,019	\$	12,620	\$	10,357	Wholly-Owned	100%
San Leandro, CA	Completed Q3	Q2 '08	62,050		10,005		9,980	Joint Venture	50%
Pacoima, CA	Completed Q3	Q4 '07	65,150		10,700		10,771	Wholly-Owned	100%
Santa Clara, CA	Completed Q3	Q1 '08	89,150		11,987		13,044	Wholly-Owned	100%
Santa Monica, CA	Completed Q3	Q3 '08	52,250		18,304		16,183	Joint Venture	42%
San Jose, CA	Completed Q3	Q4 '08	88,030		12,922		12,184	Joint Venture	65%
West Sacramento, CA	Completed Q3	Q3 '08	84,810		9,921		9,791	Wholly-Owned	100%
King City, OR	Completed Q3	Q3 '08	76,775		9,890		9,368	Wholly-Owned	100%
Lancaster, CA	Completed Q4	Q2 '08	74,200		7,468		7,290	Wholly-Owned	100%
El Cajon, CA	Completed Q4	Q3 '08	75,325		8,414		7,517	Wholly-Owned	100%
Miami Gardens, FL	Completed Q4	Q3 '08	106,125		15,043		14,273	Wholly-Owned	100%
S. Brunswick, NJ	Completed Q4	Q4 '08	67,575		8,102		6,962	Joint Venture	70%
			924,459	\$	135,376	\$	127,720		

⁽¹⁾ Includes 5% development fee, land, construction and soft costs. (2) Development costs incurred as of December 31, 2009 including development fees, land, construction and costs.

2010 Estimated Development Schedule and Costs Incurred to Date as of December 31, 2009 (unaudited) (dollars in thousands)

Location	Estimated Quarter of Completion	Quarter of Start	Estimated Net Rentable Sq. Ft.	Bud	igeted Total Cost ⁽¹⁾	Cost	t Incurred ⁽²⁾	Ownership	EXR Ownership %
Hialeah, FL	Q1	Q4 '08	89,825	\$	10,303	\$	8,889	Wholly-Owned	100%
Oakland, CA	Q1	Q3 '08	71,750		13,000		12,937	Wholly-Owned	100%
Baltimore, MD	Q2	Q2 '09	87,850		8,707		4,577	Wholly-Owned	100%
Carson, CA	Q3	Q4 '08	76,375		8,757		2,881	Wholly-Owned	100%
Pasadena, MD	Q3	Q3 '09	83,800		12,014		5,455	Wholly-Owned	100%
Hialeah, FL	Q4	Q2 '09	89,900		10,743		3,602	Wholly-Owned	100%
Peoria, AZ	Q4	Q1 '10	72,125		6,760		1,707	Wholly-Owned	100%
Ft. Lauderdale, FL	Q4	Q1 '10	89,175		10,779		3,993	Wholly-Owned	100%
Kendall, FL	Q4	Q1 '10	65,745		8,706		3,369	Wholly-Owned	100%
Los Gatos, CA	Q4	Q1 '10	65,225		11,102		3,794	Wholly-Owned	100%
			791,770	\$	100,871	\$	51,204		

⁽¹⁾ Includes 5% development fee, land, construction and soft costs. (2) Development costs incurred as of December 31, 2009 including development fees, land, construction and costs.

2009 - 2012 Actual and Estimated Accretion/Dilution to Diluted Funds From Operations (FFO) Per Share from Development Program as of December 31, 2009 (unaudited)

Year					
Completed	#	2009	2010	2011	2012
2007	6	(0.01)	-	-	-
2008	10	(0.05)	(0.03)	(0.01)	0.01
2009	12	(0.03)	(0.06)	(0.01)	0.01
2010	10	-	(0.03)	(0.04)	0.01
_	38	(0.09)	(0.12)	(0.06)	0.03

Reconciliation of Share of Net Income to Equity in Earnings for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009 (unaudited) (dollars in thousands)

L. T. West and No.	Year	# of	Net Rentable	Equity in Earnings before		R Additional	EXR Eq	s after		NOI ⁽²⁾		preciation /		nterest	Exp	enses	on Sa	n/(Loss) ale & NO f Sold	ı	et Income	Equity in Earnings % of Net Income ⁽³⁾	Equity
Joint Venture Name	Established	Properties	Sq. Ft.	Amortizaito	n Am	nortization ⁽¹⁾				NOI'	An	nortization	E.	xpense	(In	come)	Pro	perties		(Loss)	Income	Ownership
Extra Space West One	1998	7	402	¢ 20	5 \$		\$	cy JVs 286	\$	1.122	\$	206	¢	193	\$	16	¢	_	\$	707	40.5%	5.0%
Extra Space West One Extra Space Northern Properties Six	2002	10	663	φ 26°		-	Ф	62	Ф	1,122 1,457	Ф	389	Ф	522	Ф	12	Ф	-	Ф	534	40.5% 11.6%	
Legacy JVs	2002	17	1,065		3 \$	<u> </u>	\$	348	\$	2,579	\$	595	<u>¢</u>	715	<u>¢</u>	28	\$	-	\$	1,241	28.0%	
Legacy JVS		11	1,005	ψ J + (, ф	20				2,319	Ψ	393	Ψ	713	Ψ	20	Ψ		Ψ	1,241	26.076	
ESS PRISA LLC	2005	89	6.708	\$ 159	9 \$		\$	159	\$	11,528	\$	3.682	\$		\$	20	\$	(1) \$	7.825	2.0%	2.0%
ESS PRISA II LLC	2005	71	5.689	134		_	Ψ	134	۳	9.936	Ψ	3,121	Ψ	_	Ψ	189	Ψ	_ (_	, Ψ	6.626	2.0%	
ESS PRISA III LLC	2005	36	2.522	5		_		56		4.468		1,456		1.822		101		_		1.089	5.1%	
ESS VRS LLC	2005	23	1.480	54	-	_		547		2.700		854		626		5		_		1.215	45.0%	
ESS WCOT LLC	2005	29	2.165	5		_		57		3.563		1,254		1,107		63		_		1.139	5.0%	
2005 Prudential JVs		248	18,564		3 \$	_	\$	953	\$	32,195	\$	10.367	\$	3,555	\$	378	\$	(1) \$	17,894	5.3%	
			-, -				Othe	r JVs	Ė		<u> </u>		Ė	-,			Ė			,		
Storage Portfolio I LLC	1999	32	2,291	\$ 223	3 \$	78	\$	145	\$	3,575	\$	855	\$	1,328	\$	499	\$	-	\$	893	25.0%	25.0%
Storage Portfolio Bravo II	2004	21	1,692	6	5	42		23		2,261		781		1,204		(49)		-		325	20.0%	20.0%
Extra Space West Two LLC	2007	5	323	18	3	23		(5)		832		201		277		30		_		324	5.6%	5.6%
Storage Associates Holdco LLC	2007	6	550	18	3	-		18		914		455		272		7		-		180	10.0%	10.0%
Other JVs	Various	15	1,061	138	3	13		125		1,989		492		1,168		2		-		327	42.2%	36.2%
Other JVs		79	5,917	\$ 463	2 \$	156	\$	306	\$	9,571	\$	2,784	\$	4,249	\$	489	\$	-	\$	2,049	22.5%	
Mexico JV	2007			\$ 69) \$	-	\$	69														
TOTALS		344	25,546				\$	1,676	\$	44,345	\$	13,746	\$	8,519	\$	895	\$	(1) \$	21,184		

⁽¹⁾ The additional amortization represents excess purchase price paid by EXR that is amortized over 40 years and reduces the equity in earnings to EXR. (2) Revenues and expenses do not include tenant reinsurance income. Management fees are included as an expense in NOI calculation. (3) Approximates EXR percentage of net income.

Reconciliation of Share of Net Income to Equity in Earnings for the Year Ended December 31, 2009 (unaudited) (dollars in thousands)

				E	quity in														in/(Loss)			Equity in	
			Net	E	arnings			EXR	Equity in							0	ther	on S	ale & NOI			Earnings %	
	Year	# of	Rentable		before		ditional		ings after			De	preciation /	Inte	erest	Exp	enses	0	of Sold	Ne	t Income	of Net	Equity
Joint Venture Name	Established	Properties	Sq. Ft.	Am	ortizaiton	Amorti	zation ⁽¹⁾	Amo	rtizaiton		NOI ⁽²⁾	An	nortization	Exp	ense	(Inc	come)	Pro	operties		(Loss)	Income ⁽³⁾	Ownership
								Le	gacy JVs														
Extra Space West One	1998	7	402	\$	1,164	\$	-	\$	1,164	\$	4,519	\$	790	\$	775	\$	78	\$	-	\$	2,876	40.5%	5.0%
Extra Space Northern Properties Six	2002	10	663		277		-		277		6,083		1,523	2	2,075		72		-		2,413	11.5%	10.0%
Legacy JVs		17	1,065	\$	1,441	\$	-	\$	1,441	\$	10,602	\$	2,313	\$ 2	2,850	\$	150	\$	-	\$	5,289	27.2%	
							2	005 P	rudential .	JVs													
ESS PRISA LLC	2005	89	6,708	\$	483	\$	-	\$	483	\$	46,002	\$	13,979	\$	-	\$	85	\$	(8,158)	\$	23,780	2.0%	2.0%
ESS PRISA II LLC	2005	71	5,689		550		-		550		39,497		11,801		-		614		-		27,082	2.0%	2.0%
ESS PRISA III LLC	2005	36	2,522		235		-		235		17,746		5,577	7	7,307		217		-		4,645	5.1%	5.1%
ESS VRS LLC	2005	23	1,480		2,116		-		2,116		10,608		3,262	2	2,512		136		-		4,698	45.0%	45.0%
ESS WCOT LLC	2005	29	2,165		242		-		242		14,190		4,753	4	4,442		197		-		4,798	5.0%	5.0%
2005 Prudential JVs		248	18,564	\$	3,626	\$	-	\$	3,626	\$	128,043	\$	39,372	\$ 14	4,261	\$:	1,249	\$	(8,158)	\$	65,003	5.6%	
								Ot	her JVs														
Storage Portfolio I LLC	1999	32	2,291	\$	1,105	\$	312	\$	793	\$	14,749	\$	4,680	\$ 5	5,313	\$	333	\$	-	\$	4,423	25.0%	25.0%
Storage Portfolio Bravo II	2004	21	1,692		451		168		283		9,301		2,901	3	3,986		156		-		2,258	20.0%	20.0%
Extra Space West Two LLC	2007	5	323		70		94		(24)		3,274		798	2	1,111		108		-		1,257	5.6%	5.6%
Storage Associates Holdco LLC	2007	6	550		1		-		1		3,356		1,808	2	1,471		67		-		10	10.0%	10.0%
Other JVs	Various	15	1,061		786		12		774		7,948		1,713	4	4,332		26		-		1,877	41.9%	36.2%
Other JVs		79	5,917	\$	2,413	\$	586	\$	1,827	\$	38,628	\$	11,900	\$ 16	6,213	\$	690	\$	-	\$	9,825	24.6%	
Mexico JV	2007			\$	70	\$	-	\$	70														
TOTALS		344	25,546					\$	6,964	\$	177,273	\$	53,585	\$ 33	3,324	\$:	2,089	\$	(8,158)	\$	80,117		

⁽¹⁾ The additional amortization represents excess purchase price paid by EXR that is amortized over 40 years and reduces the equity in earnings to EXR. (2) Revenues and expenses do not include tenant reinsurance income. Management fees are included as an expense in NOI calculation. (3) Approximates EXR percentage of net income.

Major Joint Venture Descriptions (unaudited) (dollars in millions)

				EXR Cash	EXR								Total JV
			EXR	Flow	Promote	EXR	EXR Into			Partner	Total JV	Total JV	Debt &
JV Name	Year Est.	Properties	Equity	Distribution	Hurdle	Promote	Promote	EXR Equit	у	Equity	Equity	Debt	Equity
Extra Space West One	1998	7	5.0%	5.0%	10.0%	40.0%	YES	\$ <u>1</u> .	7 \$	9.3	\$ 11.0	\$ 16.7	\$ 27.7
Storage Portfolio I LLC	1999	32	25.0%	25.0%	14.0%	40.0%	NO	2.	8	7.2	10.0	115.0	125.0
Extra Space Northern Properties Six LLC	2002	10	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	25.0%	YES	1.	2	14.0	15.2	34.5	49.7
Extra Space West Two LLC	2004	5	5.6%	10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	NO	1.	2	19.7	20.9	20.0	40.9
Storage Portfolio Bravo II	2004	21	20.0%	20.0%	12.0%	25.0%	NO	8.	8	35.1	43.9	60.1	104.0
ESS PRISA I	2005	89	2.0%	2.0%	8.5%	17.0%	NO	11.	9	574.5	586.4	-	586.4
ESS PRISA II	2005	71	2.0%	2.0%	8.5%	17.0%	NO	10.	2	494.0	504.2	-	504.2
ESS PRISA III	2005	36	5.1%	5.1%	11.0%	20.0%	NO	3.	8	71.1	74.9	145.0	219.9
ESS VRS	2005	23	45.0%	45.0%	9.0%	54.0%	NO	46.	1	35.9	82.0	52.1	134.1
ESS WCOT	2005	29	5.0%	5.0%	11.0%	20.0%	NO	5.	0	93.6	98.6	92.1	190.7
Storage Associates Holdco LLC	2006	6	10.0%	10.0%	12.0%	40.0%	NO	1.	8	25.0	26.8	59.0	85.8
								\$ 94.	5 \$	1,379.4	\$ 1,473.9	\$ 594.5	\$ 2,068.4

					Occupancy
				Average	as of
	# of	Net Rentable	Total	Quarter	December
State	Properties	Sq. Ft.	Units	Occupancy	31, 2009
Alabama	1	77,600	587	80.4%	79.4%
Arizona	5	346,998	2,818	84.3%	83.6%
California	57	4,515,264	44,906	73.0%	73.1%
Colorado	8	476,484	3,790	84.2%	84.4%
Connecticut	3	178,040	2,023	80.3%	78.9%
Florida	34	2,445,416	23,200	75.5%	74.8%
Georgia	13	913,533	7,054	80.6%	79.6%
Hawaii	2	145,816	2,858	80.9%	80.4%
Illinois	9	617,989	6,009	66.6%	66.6%
Indiana	6	412,759	3,477	83.1%	82.3%
Kansas	1	50,190	507	84.2%	82.2%
Kentucky	3	194,051	1,578	89.8%	88.9%
Louisiana	2	150,335	1,412	81.6%	81.8%
Maryland	12	997,514	9,330	81.6%	81.4%
Massachusetts	29	1,781,147	17,366	81.7%	81.2%
Michigan	2	135,026	1,026	85.8%	85.7%
Missouri	6	374,292	3,141	83.1%	82.4%
Nevada	2	132,015	1,239	84.5%	83.0%
New Hampshire	2	125,473	1,006	86.4%	88.2%
New Jersey	24	1,891,816	19,437	84.2%	84.1%
New Mexico	1	71,555	541	80.3%	78.7%
New York	10	608,510	8,423	82.1%	81.3%
Ohio	4	273,532	2,024	85.9%	85.9%
Oregon	2	179,525	1,511	51.0%	51 .9%
Pennsylvania	9	689,768	6,573	86.1%	86.6%
Rhode Island	1	75,521	722	82.3%	81.3%
South Carolina	4	253,406	2,175	86.0%	84.0%
Tennessee	6	488,334	3,694	79.8%	79.9%
Texas	20	1,403,414	12,378	84.9%	85.0%
Utah	3	210,749	1,543	83.5%	80.7%
Virginia	5	346,862	3,561	83.5%	82.5%
Washington	4	308,015	2,548	92.0%	90.6%
Totals	290	20,870,949	198,457	79.5%	79.2%

State # of Properties Net Rentable Sq. Ft. Total Units Total Units Total Units Occupancy as of Quarter December December Occupancy December December Occupancy 31,2009 Alabama 7 456,589 3,702 69,6% 69,5% Arizona 16 1,098,887 9,647 83,3% 82,9% California 148 11,316,886 110,061 76,9% 76,8% Colorado 12 727,766 5,962 83,2% 83,7% Connecticut 11 869,446 8,006 81,8% 82,0% Delaware 1 71,680 584 92,8% 92,2% Florida 67 5,057,150 49,273 72,0% 71,9% Georgia 31 2,324,559 17,018 67,7% 67,3% Hawaii 2 145,816 2,858 80,9% 80,4% Illinois 28 1,914,463 18,542 70,7% 70,4% Kansas 7 436,370 3,236 75,6% 75,4						Occupancy
State Properties Sq. Ft. Total Units Occupancy 31,2009 Alabama 7 456,589 3,702 69.6% 69.5% Arizona 16 1,098,887 9,647 83.3% 82.9% California 148 11,316,886 110,061 76.9% 76.8% Colorado 12 727,766 5,962 83.2% 83.7% Connecticut 11 869,446 8,006 81.8% 82.0% Delaware 1 71,680 584 92.8% 92.2% Florida 67 5,057,150 49,273 72.0% 71.9% Georgia 31 2,324,559 17,018 67.7% 67.3% Hawaii 2 145,816 2,858 80.9% 80.4% Illinois 28 1,914,463 18,542 70.7% 70.4% Indiana 14 834,357 6,748 83.8% 83.1% Kansas 7 436,370 3,236 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Average</td><td>as of</td></t<>					Average	as of
Alabama 7 456,589 3,702 69.6% 69.5% Arizona 16 1,098,887 9,647 83.3% 82.9% California 148 11,316,886 110,061 76.9% 76.8% Colorado 12 727,766 5,962 83.2% 83.7% Connecticut 11 869,446 8,006 81.8% 82.0% Delaware 1 71,680 584 92.8% 92.2% Florida 67 5,057,150 49,273 72.0% 71.9% Georgia 31 2,324,559 17,018 67.7% 67.3% Hawaii 2 145,816 2,858 80.9% 80.4% Illinois 28 1,914,463 18,542 70.7% 70.4% Indiana 14 834,357 6,748 83.8% 83.1% Kansas 7 436,370 3,236 75.6% 75.4% Kentucky 8 528,937 4,378 85.5%		# of	Net Rentable		Quarter	December
Arizona 16 1,098,887 9,647 83.3% 82.9% California 148 11,316,886 110,061 76.9% 76.8% Colorado 12 727,766 5,962 83.2% 83.7% Connecticut 11 869,446 8,006 81.8% 82.0% Delaware 1 71,680 584 92.8% 92.2% Florida 67 5,057,150 49,273 72.0% 71.9% Georgia 31 2,324,559 17,018 67.7% 67.3% Hawaii 2 145,816 2,858 80.9% 80.4% Illinois 28 1,914,463 18,542 70.7% 70.4% Indiana 14 834,357 6,748 83.8% 83.1% Kansas 7 436,370 3,236 75.6% 75.4% Kentucky 8 528,937 4,378 85.5% 84.4% Louisiana 2 150,335 1,412 81.6%	State	Properties	Sq. Ft.	Total Units	Occupancy	31, 2009
California 148 11,316,886 110,061 76.9% 76.8% Colorado 12 727,766 5,962 83.2% 83.7% Connecticut 11 869,446 8,006 81.8% 82.0% Delaware 1 71,680 584 92.8% 92.2% Florida 67 5,057,150 49,273 72.0% 71.9% Georgia 31 2,324,559 17,018 67.7% 67.3% Hawaii 2 145,816 2,858 80.9% 80.4% Illinois 28 1,914,463 18,542 70.7% 70.4% Indiana 14 834,357 6,748 83.8% 83.1% Kansas 7 436,370 3,236 75.6% 75.4% Kentucky 8 528,937 4,378 85.5% 84.4% Louisiana 2 150,335 1,412 81.6% 81.8% Maryland 39 2,997,196 28,865 80.8%	Alabama	7	456,589	3,702	69.6%	69.5%
Colorado 12 727,766 5,962 83.2% 83.7% Connecticut 11 869,446 8,006 81.8% 82.0% Delaware 1 71,680 584 92.8% 92.2% Florida 67 5,057,150 49,273 72.0% 71.9% Georgia 31 2,324,559 17,018 67.7% 67.3% Hawaii 2 145,816 2,858 80.9% 80.4% Illinois 28 1,914,463 18,542 70.7% 70.4% Indiana 14 834,357 6,748 83.8% 83.1% Kansas 7 436,370 3,236 75.6% 75.4% Kentucky 8 528,937 4,378 85.5% 84.4% Louisiana 2 150,335 1,412 81.6% 81.8% Maryland 39 2,997,196 28,865 80.8% 80.3% Maryland 39 2,997,196 28,865 80.8%	Arizona	16	1,098,887	9,647	83.3%	82.9%
Connecticut 11 869,446 8,006 81.8% 82.0% Delaware 1 71,680 584 92.8% 92.2% Florida 67 5,057,150 49,273 72.0% 71.9% Georgia 31 2,324,559 17,018 67.7% 67.3% Hawaii 2 145,816 2,858 80.9% 80.4% Illinois 28 1,914,463 18,542 70.7% 70.4% Indiana 14 834,357 6,748 83.8% 83.1% Kansas 7 436,370 3,236 75.6% 75.4% Kentucky 8 528,937 4,378 85.5% 84.4% Louisiana 2 150,335 1,412 81.6% 81.8% Maryland 39 2,997,196 28,865 80.8% 80.3% Massachusetts 50 3,143,374 29,942 78.9% 78.7% Michigan 12 919,709 6,943 83.1%	California	148	11,316,886	110,061	76.9%	76.8%
Delaware 1 71,680 584 92.8% 92.2% Florida 67 5,057,150 49,273 72.0% 71.9% Georgia 31 2,324,559 17,018 67.7% 67.3% Hawaii 2 145,816 2,858 80.9% 80.4% Illinois 28 1,914,463 18,542 70.7% 70.4% Indiana 14 834,357 6,748 83.8% 83.1% Kansas 7 436,370 3,236 75.6% 75.4% Kentucky 8 528,937 4,378 85.5% 84.4% Louisiana 2 150,335 1,412 81.6% 81.8% Maryland 39 2,997,196 28,865 80.8% 80.3% Massachusetts 50 3,143,374 29,942 78.9% 78.7% Michigan 12 919,709 6,943 83.1% 82.4% Missouri 11 797,475 5,629 78.7%	Colorado	12	727,766	5,962	83.2%	83.7%
Florida 67 5,057,150 49,273 72.0% 71.9% Georgia 31 2,324,559 17,018 67.7% 67.3% Hawaii 2 145,816 2,858 80.9% 80.4% Illinois 28 1,914,463 18,542 70.7% 70.4% Indiana 14 834,357 6,748 83.8% 83.1% Kansas 7 436,370 3,236 75.6% 75.4% Kentucky 8 528,937 4,378 85.5% 84.4% Louisiana 2 150,335 1,412 81.6% 81.8% Maryland 39 2,997,196 28,865 80.8% 80.3% Massachusetts 50 3,143,374 29,942 78.9% 78.7% Michigan 12 919,709 6,943 83.1% 82.4% Missouri 11 797,475 5,629 78.7% 78.4% Nevada 11 922,063 7,430 83.1% 82.7% New Hampshire 5 262,907 2,322 85.6% 86.1% New Jersey 53 4,162,741 41,592 82.2% 81.0% New Mexico 12 746,211 6,315 83.8% 82.9% New York 33 2,471,632 31,679 84.2% 83.7% Origon 4 315,815 2,801 65.7% 65.8% Pennsylvania 41 2,645,168 24,167 73.9% 74.2% Rhode Island 3 205,386 1,811 76.1% 75.8% South Carolina 5 330,281 2,942 78.2% 64.5% Texas 43 3,355,059 27,242 82.5% 81.5% Washington DC 3 214,462 2,799 90.0% 89.3% Washington, DC 3 214,462 2,799 90.0% 89.3%	Connecticut	11	869,446	8,006	81.8%	82.0%
Georgia 31 2,324,559 17,018 67.7% 67.3% Hawaii 2 145,816 2,858 80.9% 80.4% Illinois 28 1,914,463 18,542 70.7% 70.4% Indiana 14 834,357 6,748 83.8% 83.1% Kansas 7 436,370 3,236 75.6% 75.4% Kentucky 8 528,937 4,378 85.5% 84.4% Louisiana 2 150,335 1,412 81.6% 81.8% Maryland 39 2,997,196 28,865 80.8% 80.3% Massachusetts 50 3,143,374 29,942 78.9% 78.7% Michigan 12 919,709 6,943 83.1% 82.4% Missouri 11 797,475 5,629 78.7% 78.4% New Hampshire 5 262,907 2,322 85.6% 86.1% New Jersey 53 4,162,741 41,592 82.2	Delaware	1	71,680	584	92.8%	92.2%
Hawaii 2 145,816 2,858 80.9% 80.4% Illinois 28 1,914,463 18,542 70.7% 70.4% Indiana 14 834,357 6,748 83.8% 83.1% Kansas 7 436,370 3,236 75.6% 75.4% Kentucky 8 528,937 4,378 85.5% 84.4% Louisiana 2 150,335 1,412 81.6% 81.8% Maryland 39 2,997,196 28,865 80.8% 80.3% Massachusetts 50 3,143,374 29,942 78.9% 78.7% Michigan 12 919,709 6,943 83.1% 82.4% Missouri 11 797,475 5,629 78.7% 78.4% New dada 11 922,063 7,430 83.1% 82.7% New Hampshire 5 262,907 2,322 85.6% 86.1% New Mexico 12 746,211 6,315 83.8% <td>Florida</td> <td>67</td> <td>5,057,150</td> <td>49,273</td> <td>72.0%</td> <td>71.9%</td>	Florida	67	5,057,150	49,273	72.0%	71.9%
Illinois 28	Georgia	31	2,324,559	17,018	67.7%	67.3%
Indiana 14 834,357 6,748 83.8% 83.1% Kansas 7 436,370 3,236 75.6% 75.4% Kentucky 8 528,937 4,378 85.5% 84.4% Louisiana 2 150,335 1,412 81.6% 81.8% Maryland 39 2,997,196 28,865 80.8% 80.3% Massachusetts 50 3,143,374 29,942 78.9% 78.7% Michigan 12 919,709 6,943 83.1% 82.4% Missouri 11 797,475 5,629 78.7% 78.4% Nevada 11 922,063 7,430 83.1% 82.7% New Hampshire 5 262,907 2,322 85.6% 86.1% New Jersey 53 4,162,741 41,592 82.2% 81.0% New Mexico 12 746,211 6,315 83.8% 82.9% New York 33 2,471,632 31,679 84.	Hawaii	2	145,816	2,858	80.9%	80.4%
Kansas 7 436,370 3,236 75.6% 75.4% Kentucky 8 528,937 4,378 85.5% 84.4% Louisiana 2 150,335 1,412 81.6% 81.8% Maryland 39 2,997,196 28,865 80.8% 80.3% Massachusetts 50 3,143,374 29,942 78.9% 78.7% Michigan 12 919,709 6,943 83.1% 82.4% Missouri 11 797,475 5,629 78.7% 78.4% Nevada 11 922,063 7,430 83.1% 82.7% New Hampshire 5 262,907 2,322 85.6% 86.1% New Jersey 53 4,162,741 41,592 82.2% 81.0% New Mexico 12 746,211 6,315 83.8% 82.9% New York 33 2,471,632 31,679 84.2% 83.7% Ohio 19 1,189,739 8,119 78.6% 78.1% Oregon 4 315,815 2,801 65.7	Illinois	28	1,914,463	18,542	70.7%	70.4%
Kentucky 8 528,937 4,378 85.5% 84.4% Louisiana 2 150,335 1,412 81.6% 81.8% Maryland 39 2,997,196 28,865 80.8% 80.3% Massachusetts 50 3,143,374 29,942 78.9% 78.7% Michigan 12 919,709 6,943 83.1% 82.4% Missouri 11 797,475 5,629 78.7% 78.4% Nevada 11 922,063 7,430 83.1% 82.7% New Hampshire 5 262,907 2,322 85.6% 86.1% New Jersey 53 4,162,741 41,592 82.2% 81.0% New Mexico 12 746,211 6,315 83.8% 82.9% New York 33 2,471,632 31,679 84.2% 83.7% Ohio 19 1,189,739 8,119 78.6% 78.1% Oregon 4 315,815 2,801 65.7	Indiana	14	834,357	6,748	83.8%	83.1%
Louisiana 2 150,335 1,412 81.6% 81.8% Maryland 39 2,997,196 28,865 80.8% 80.3% Massachusetts 50 3,143,374 29,942 78.9% 78.7% Michigan 12 919,709 6,943 83.1% 82.4% Missouri 11 797,475 5,629 78.7% 78.4% Nevada 11 922,063 7,430 83.1% 82.7% New Hampshire 5 262,907 2,322 85.6% 86.1% New Jersey 53 4,162,741 41,592 82.2% 81.0% New Mexico 12 746,211 6,315 83.8% 82.9% New York 33 2,471,632 31,679 84.2% 83.7% Ohio 19 1,189,739 8,119 78.6% 78.1% Oregon 4 315,815 2,801 65.7% 65.8% Pennsylvania 41 2,645,168 24,167	Kansas	7	436,370	3,236	75.6%	75.4%
Maryland 39 2,997,196 28,865 80.8% 80.3% Massachusetts 50 3,143,374 29,942 78.9% 78.7% Michigan 12 919,709 6,943 83.1% 82.4% Missouri 11 797,475 5,629 78.7% 78.4% Nevada 11 922,063 7,430 83.1% 82.7% New Hampshire 5 262,907 2,322 85.6% 86.1% New Jersey 53 4,162,741 41,592 82.2% 81.0% New Mexico 12 746,211 6,315 83.8% 82.9% New York 33 2,471,632 31,679 84.2% 83.7% Ohio 19 1,189,739 8,119 78.6% 78.1% Oregon 4 315,815 2,801 65.7% 65.8% Pennsylvania 41 2,645,168 24,167 73.9% 74.2% Rhode Island 3 205,386 1,811	Kentucky	8	528,937	4,378	85.5%	84.4%
Massachusetts 50 3,143,374 29,942 78.9% 78.7% Michigan 12 919,709 6,943 83.1% 82.4% Missouri 11 797,475 5,629 78.7% 78.4% Nevada 11 922,063 7,430 83.1% 82.7% New Hampshire 5 262,907 2,322 85.6% 86.1% New Jersey 53 4,162,741 41,592 82.2% 81.0% New Mexico 12 746,211 6,315 83.8% 82.9% New York 33 2,471,632 31,679 84.2% 83.7% Ohio 19 1,189,739 8,119 78.6% 78.1% Oregon 4 315,815 2,801 65.7% 65.8% Pennsylvania 41 2,645,168 24,167 73.9% 74.2% Rhode Island 3 205,386 1,811 76.1% 75.8% South Carolina 5 330,281 2,942	Louisiana	2	150,335	1,412	81.6%	81.8%
Michigan 12 919,709 6,943 83.1% 82.4% Missouri 11 797,475 5,629 78.7% 78.4% Nevada 11 922,063 7,430 83.1% 82.7% New Hampshire 5 262,907 2,322 85.6% 86.1% New Jersey 53 4,162,741 41,592 82.2% 81.0% New Mexico 12 746,211 6,315 83.8% 82.9% New York 33 2,471,632 31,679 84.2% 83.7% Ohio 19 1,189,739 8,119 78.6% 78.1% Oregon 4 315,815 2,801 65.7% 65.8% Pennsylvania 41 2,645,168 24,167 73.9% 74.2% Rhode Island 3 205,386 1,811 76.1% 75.8% South Carolina 5 330,281 2,942 78.2% 64.5% Tennessee 31 2,236,920 16,835 82.1% 81.6% Texas 43 3,355,059 27,242	Maryland	39	2,997,196	28,865	80.8%	80.3%
Missouri 11 797,475 5,629 78.7% 78.4% Nevada 11 922,063 7,430 83.1% 82.7% New Hampshire 5 262,907 2,322 85.6% 86.1% New Jersey 53 4,162,741 41,592 82.2% 81.0% New Mexico 12 746,211 6,315 83.8% 82.9% New York 33 2,471,632 31,679 84.2% 83.7% Ohio 19 1,189,739 8,119 78.6% 78.1% Oregon 4 315,815 2,801 65.7% 65.8% Pennsylvania 41 2,645,168 24,167 73.9% 74.2% Rhode Island 3 205,386 1,811 76.1% 75.8% South Carolina 5 330,281 2,942 78.2% 64.5% Tennessee 31 2,236,920 16,835 82.1% 81.6% Texas 43 3,355,059 27,242 82.5% 81.5% Utah 6 392,005 3,087 <	Massachusetts	50	3,143,374	29,942	78.9%	78.7%
Nevada 11 922,063 7,430 83.1% 82.7% New Hampshire 5 262,907 2,322 85.6% 86.1% New Jersey 53 4,162,741 41,592 82.2% 81.0% New Mexico 12 746,211 6,315 83.8% 82.9% New York 33 2,471,632 31,679 84.2% 83.7% Ohio 19 1,189,739 8,119 78.6% 78.1% Oregon 4 315,815 2,801 65.7% 65.8% Pennsylvania 41 2,645,168 24,167 73.9% 74.2% Rhode Island 3 205,386 1,811 76.1% 75.8% South Carolina 5 330,281 2,942 78.2% 64.5% Tennessee 31 2,236,920 16,835 82.1% 81.6% Texas 43 3,355,059 27,242 82.5% 81.5% Utah 6 392,005 3,087	Michigan	12	919,709	6,943	83.1%	82.4%
New Hampshire 5 262,907 2,322 85.6% 86.1% New Jersey 53 4,162,741 41,592 82.2% 81.0% New Mexico 12 746,211 6,315 83.8% 82.9% New York 33 2,471,632 31,679 84.2% 83.7% Ohio 19 1,189,739 8,119 78.6% 78.1% Oregon 4 315,815 2,801 65.7% 65.8% Pennsylvania 41 2,645,168 24,167 73.9% 74.2% Rhode Island 3 205,386 1,811 76.1% 75.8% South Carolina 5 330,281 2,942 78.2% 64.5% Tennessee 31 2,236,920 16,835 82.1% 81.6% Texas 43 3,355,059 27,242 82.5% 81.5% Utah 6 392,005 3,087 79.9% 79.0% Virginia 26 1,876,447 18,079	Missouri	11	797,475	5,629	78.7%	78.4%
New Jersey 53 4,162,741 41,592 82.2% 81.0% New Mexico 12 746,211 6,315 83.8% 82.9% New York 33 2,471,632 31,679 84.2% 83.7% Ohio 19 1,189,739 8,119 78.6% 78.1% Oregon 4 315,815 2,801 65.7% 65.8% Pennsylvania 41 2,645,168 24,167 73.9% 74.2% Rhode Island 3 205,386 1,811 76.1% 75.8% South Carolina 5 330,281 2,942 78.2% 64.5% Tennessee 31 2,236,920 16,835 82.1% 81.6% Texas 43 3,355,059 27,242 82.5% 81.5% Utah 6 392,005 3,087 79.9% 79.0% Virginia 26 1,876,447 18,079 83.7% 83.1% Washington 5 370,745 3,094 <t< td=""><td>Nevada</td><td>11</td><td>922,063</td><td>7,430</td><td>83.1%</td><td>82.7%</td></t<>	Nevada	11	922,063	7,430	83.1%	82.7%
New Mexico 12 746,211 6,315 83.8% 82.9% New York 33 2,471,632 31,679 84.2% 83.7% Ohio 19 1,189,739 8,119 78.6% 78.1% Oregon 4 315,815 2,801 65.7% 65.8% Pennsylvania 41 2,645,168 24,167 73.9% 74.2% Rhode Island 3 205,386 1,811 76.1% 75.8% South Carolina 5 330,281 2,942 78.2% 64.5% Tennessee 31 2,236,920 16,835 82.1% 81.6% Texas 43 3,355,059 27,242 82.5% 81.5% Utah 6 392,005 3,087 79.9% 79.0% Virginia 26 1,876,447 18,079 83.7% 83.1% Washington 5 370,745 3,094 90.9% 89.9% Washington, DC 3 214,462 2,799 <t< td=""><td>New Hampshire</td><td>5</td><td>262,907</td><td>2,322</td><td>85.6%</td><td>86.1%</td></t<>	New Hampshire	5	262,907	2,322	85.6%	86.1%
New York 33 2,471,632 31,679 84.2% 83.7% Ohio 19 1,189,739 8,119 78.6% 78.1% Oregon 4 315,815 2,801 65.7% 65.8% Pennsylvania 41 2,645,168 24,167 73.9% 74.2% Rhode Island 3 205,386 1,811 76.1% 75.8% South Carolina 5 330,281 2,942 78.2% 64.5% Tennessee 31 2,236,920 16,835 82.1% 81.6% Texas 43 3,355,059 27,242 82.5% 81.5% Utah 6 392,005 3,087 79.9% 79.0% Virginia 26 1,876,447 18,079 83.7% 83.1% Washington 5 370,745 3,094 90.9% 89.9% Washington, DC 3 214,462 2,799 90.0% 89.3%	New Jersey	53	4,162,741	41,592	82.2%	81.0%
Ohio 19 1,189,739 8,119 78.6% 78.1% Oregon 4 315,815 2,801 65.7% 65.8% Pennsylvania 41 2,645,168 24,167 73.9% 74.2% Rhode Island 3 205,386 1,811 76.1% 75.8% South Carolina 5 330,281 2,942 78.2% 64.5% Tennessee 31 2,236,920 16,835 82.1% 81.6% Texas 43 3,355,059 27,242 82.5% 81.5% Utah 6 392,005 3,087 79.9% 79.0% Virginia 26 1,876,447 18,079 83.7% 83.1% Washington 5 370,745 3,094 90.9% 89.9% Washington, DC 3 214,462 2,799 90.0% 89.3%	New Mexico	12	746,211	6,315	83.8%	82.9%
Oregon 4 315,815 2,801 65.7% 65.8% Pennsylvania 41 2,645,168 24,167 73.9% 74.2% Rhode Island 3 205,386 1,811 76.1% 75.8% South Carolina 5 330,281 2,942 78.2% 64.5% Tennessee 31 2,236,920 16,835 82.1% 81.6% Texas 43 3,355,059 27,242 82.5% 81.5% Utah 6 392,005 3,087 79.9% 79.0% Virginia 26 1,876,447 18,079 83.7% 83.1% Washington 5 370,745 3,094 90.9% 89.9% Washington, DC 3 214,462 2,799 90.0% 89.3%	New York	33	2,471,632	31,679	84.2%	83.7%
Pennsylvania 41 2,645,168 24,167 73.9% 74.2% Rhode Island 3 205,386 1,811 76.1% 75.8% South Carolina 5 330,281 2,942 78.2% 64.5% Tennessee 31 2,236,920 16,835 82.1% 81.6% Texas 43 3,355,059 27,242 82.5% 81.5% Utah 6 392,005 3,087 79.9% 79.0% Virginia 26 1,876,447 18,079 83.7% 83.1% Washington 5 370,745 3,094 90.9% 89.9% Washington, DC 3 214,462 2,799 90.0% 89.3%	Ohio	19	1,189,739	8,119	78.6%	78.1%
Rhode Island 3 205,386 1,811 76.1% 75.8% South Carolina 5 330,281 2,942 78.2% 64.5% Tennessee 31 2,236,920 16,835 82.1% 81.6% Texas 43 3,355,059 27,242 82.5% 81.5% Utah 6 392,005 3,087 79.9% 79.0% Virginia 26 1,876,447 18,079 83.7% 83.1% Washington 5 370,745 3,094 90.9% 89.9% Washington, DC 3 214,462 2,799 90.0% 89.3%	Oregon	4	315,815	2,801	65.7%	65.8%
South Carolina 5 330,281 2,942 78.2% 64.5% Tennessee 31 2,236,920 16,835 82.1% 81.6% Texas 43 3,355,059 27,242 82.5% 81.5% Utah 6 392,005 3,087 79.9% 79.0% Virginia 26 1,876,447 18,079 83.7% 83.1% Washington 5 370,745 3,094 90.9% 89.9% Washington, DC 3 214,462 2,799 90.0% 89.3%	Pennsylvania	41	2,645,168	24,167	73.9%	74.2%
Tennessee 31 2,236,920 16,835 82.1% 81.6% Texas 43 3,355,059 27,242 82.5% 81.5% Utah 6 392,005 3,087 79.9% 79.0% Virginia 26 1,876,447 18,079 83.7% 83.1% Washington 5 370,745 3,094 90.9% 89.9% Washington, DC 3 214,462 2,799 90.0% 89.3%	Rhode Island	3	205,386	1,811	76.1%	75.8%
Texas 43 3,355,059 27,242 82.5% 81.5% Utah 6 392,005 3,087 79.9% 79.0% Virginia 26 1,876,447 18,079 83.7% 83.1% Washington 5 370,745 3,094 90.9% 89.9% Washington, DC 3 214,462 2,799 90.0% 89.3%	South Carolina	5	330,281	2,942	78.2%	64.5%
Utah 6 392,005 3,087 79.9% 79.0% Virginia 26 1,876,447 18,079 83.7% 83.1% Washington 5 370,745 3,094 90.9% 89.9% Washington, DC 3 214,462 2,799 90.0% 89.3%	Tennessee	31	2,236,920	16,835	82.1%	81.6%
Virginia 26 1,876,447 18,079 83.7% 83.1% Washington 5 370,745 3,094 90.9% 89.9% Washington, DC 3 214,462 2,799 90.0% 89.3%	Texas	43	3,355,059	27,242	82.5%	81.5%
Washington 5 370,745 3,094 90.9% 89.9% Washington, DC 3 214,462 2,799 90.0% 89.3%	Utah	6	392,005	3,087	79.9%	79.0%
Washington, DC 3 214,462 2,799 90.0% 89.3%	Virginia	26		18,079	83.7%	83.1%
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	_			3,094	90.9%	89.9%
Totals 766 55,488,576 513,120 78.5% 78.0%	Washington, DC				90.0%	
	Totals	766	55,488,576	513,120	78.5%	78.0%